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# Study Design & Documentation

## Introduction

The GfK Group (GfK, formerly Knowledge Networks) conducted the 2017 Biannual Survey on behalf of The Chicago Council on Global Affairs. Specifically, the study examines American’s attitudes and perceptions of a range of US and International public policy issues. The survey was conducted using sample from KnowledgePanel®.

## Sample Definition

The target population consists of the following general population samples:

* non-institutionalized adults age 18 and over residing in the United States;
* non-institutionalized adults age 18 to 36 residing in the United States;
* non-institutionalized adults age 18 and over residing in the following states:
* North Dakota
* South Dakota
* Nebraska
* Kansas
* Oklahoma
* Minnesota
* Iowa
* Illinois
* Indiana
* Michigan
* Ohio
* Missouri

To sample the populations, GfK sampled households (one respondent per household) from its KnowledgePanel, a probability-based web panel designed to be representative of the United States. The survey consisted of a main survey with the study-eligible respondents. To qualify for the main survey, a panel member must have been (by sample):

*General Population Sample*

* A resident of the United States,
* Age 18 or older, as determined by KnowledgePanel® Profile Data;

*Millennial Sample*

* A resident of the United States,
* Age 18 to 36, as determined by KnowledgePanel® Profile Data;

*Midwestern States Sample*

* A resident of one of the aforementioned states,
* Age 18 or older, as determined by KnowledgePanel® Profile Data;

## Data Collection Field Period & Survey Length

The data collection field periods were as follows:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Stage** | **Start Date** | **End Date** |
| Pretest | 06/21/2017 | 06/22/2017 |
| Main | 06/27/2017 | 07/19/2017 |

Participants completed the main survey in 22 minutes (median).

## Survey Completion and Sample Sizes

The number of respondents sampled and participating in the survey, the survey completion rates for the screener and main interview, and the incidence/eligibility rate are presented below.

### Key Survey Response Statistics: Total Sample

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **N Sampled for Main Survey** | **N Complete Main Survey** | **Main Survey Completion Rate** | **Qualified for Main Survey** | **Incidence Rate** |
| 5,145 | 3,009 | 58.5% | 3,009 | 100% |

### Key Survey Response Statistics: General Population Sample

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **N Sampled for Main Survey** | **N Complete Main Survey** | **Main Survey Completion Rate** | **Qualified for Main Survey** | **Incidence Rate** |
| 3,618 | 2,181 | 60.3% | 2,181 | 100% |

### Key Survey Response Statistics: Millennial Sample

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **N Sampled for Main Survey** | **N Complete Main Survey** | **Main Survey Completion Rate** | **Qualified for Main Survey** | **Incidence Rate** |
| 800 | 390 | 48.8% | 390 | 100% |

### Key Survey Response Statistics: Midwestern States Sample

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **N Sampled for Main Survey** | **N Complete Main Survey** | **Main Survey Completion Rate** | **Qualified for Main Survey** | **Incidence Rate** |
| 727 | 438 | 60.2% | 438 | 100% |

Of the 3,009 cases completing the main survey, 2,760 cases were determined to be valid cases to be included in the final analyses. The 249 cases were excluded due to client provided data cleaning criteria.

Cases were excluded if they failed three of the following four criterions:

1. **Speedsters:** Respondents who completed the survey in 8 minutes or less.

* Total cases removed: n=209

1. **Refused 50% or more of questions:**  Respondents who refused to answer 50% or more of the eligible survey questions.

* Total cases removed: n=89; n=36 unique to criteria group

1. **Data Check Score of 3 or 4:** Respondents who failed 3 or 4 of the quality checks implemented (see criteria below).

* Total cases removed: n=60; n=4 unique to criteria group

1. Completed survey faster than 8 minutes.

* Total cases failing check: n=209

1. Did not accurately input a “4”, refused or skipped Question Q3\_1 in the survey which, was designed to make sure respondents were paying attention to the survey. (“In order to make sure that your browser is working correctly, please select number 4 from the below list.”).

* Total cases failing check: n=82

1. Refused one or more full battery of 5 attributes or more (Q5, Q7, Q8, Q130, Q110, Q25, Q12, Q30, Q50, Q44, Q205, Q80, Q374).

* Total cases failing check: n=388

1. Respondents who straight lined their responses to a battery of grid questions (Q5, Q7, Q8, Q12).

* Total cases failing check: n=724

## Survey Cooperation Enhancements

As a standard, email reminders to non-responders were sent on day three of the field period.

Beyond the standard email reminder on day three of the field period, the following steps were also taken:

* Additional email reminders to non-responders were sent on days #15, 17, 21 of the field period;
* Telephone reminder calls by IVR were made to non-responders starting on day #17 of the field period.

## Data File Deliverables and Descriptions

GfK prepared and delivered a fully formatted SPSS file containing the collected data, GfK demographic profile data, and the appropriate variable and value labels, as described below.

**Data File Deliverables**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Delivery Date** | **File Type** | **File Name** | **File Size** | **N Records** |
| 06/24/2017 | SPSS | 310.209.01418.1\_Chicago Council 2017 Biannual Survey\_Pretest Client.Sav | 264 Kb | 55 |
| 07/27/2017 | SPSS | 310.209.01418.1\_Chicago Council 2017 Biannual Survey\_Client.Sav | 3 Mb | 2,760 |
| 08/22/2017 | SPSS | 310.209.01418.1\_Chicago Council 2017 Biannual Survey\_Client\_081817.Sav | 3 Mb | 2,760 |

In addition, GfK prepared and delivered other deliverables as follows:

* Post-stratification statistical weights;
* Four cross-tabulations (“banner tables”) of the survey data;
* Trended topline questionnaire with marginal frequencies;
* Demographic profile data for all interviewed GfK panelists;
* Additional non-demographic profile data:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **Variable Description** | **Source of Variable** |
| XPHISPAN | Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent? | Core Adult Profile Survey |
| ZIP | Zip Code of Respondent Address | Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived) |
| LATITUDE | Latitudinal Coordinates of Respondent Address | Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived) |
| LONGITUDE | Longitudinal Coordinates of Respondent Address | Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived) |
| PRECISION | Data Only Variable: Precision of Latitudinal & Longitudinal Coordinates of Respondent Address | Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived) |
| CENSUS\_BLOCK | Census Block of Respondent Address | Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived) |
| USR | Urban, Suburban, or Rural Designation of Respondent Address | Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived) |
| MSC | Metro Status Code of Respondent Address | Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived) |
| CBSAMET | Name of Core Based Metropolitan Statistical Area Respondent Resides Within | Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived) |

Several supplemental variables are provided to assist the principal investigators in identifying cases that could potentially be of interest.

Please also note the following for the survey data file:

* When a respondent refused to answer a question, the code “-1” is used.
* When questions or response choices were randomized, the order of the randomization is provided.

The table below shows the name and description of each of the supplemental, demographic, and other profile variables delivered to the client.

### Supplemental Variables

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Variable Name** | **Variable Description** |
| CASEID | Case Identification Number |
| TM\_START | Date and time interview started |
| TM\_FINISH | Date and time interview ended |
| DURATION | Duration of interview in minutes |
| Weight1 | Data Only Variable: Post-Stratification Weight for General Population Sample (Respondents from XCHICAGO Sample 1, N=2020) |
| Weight2 | Data Only Variable: Post-Stratification Weight for Midwestern States Sample (Respondents from XCHICAGO Samples 1 & 2, N=828) |
| Weight3 | Data Only Variable: Post-Stratification Weight for Millennial Sample (Respondents from XCHICAGO Samples 1 & 3, N=805) |
| Weight4 | Data Only Variable: Post-Stratification Weight for Non-Midwestern States Sample (Respondents from XCHICAGO Samples 1 & 2, N=1604) |
| Weight5 | Data Only Variable: Post-Stratification Weight for Non-Millennial Sample (Respondents from XCHICAGO Samples 1 & 3, N=1543) |
| Weight6 | Data Only Variable: Post-Stratification Weight for Total Sample (All Respondents, N=2760) |
| XCHICAGO | Data Only Variable: Sample Designation of Respondent |
| XMidwesternSampleFlag | Data Only Variable: Indicates Respondent Status Within Midwestern States Sample Comparison Group (Among Eligible Respondents) |
| XMillenialsSampleFlag | Data Only Variable: Indicates Respondent Status Within Millennial Sample Comparison Group (Among Eligible Respondents) |
| QFLAG\_ChicagoR | Data Only Variable: Final Qualification Flag For Respondent Based On Chicago Council Data Cleaning Plan |
| XPHISPAN | Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent? |
| XZIP | Data Only Variable: Zip Code of Respondent Address |
| LATITUDE | Data Only Variable: Latitudinal Coordinates of Respondent Address |
| LONGITUDE | Data Only Variable: Longitudinal Coordinates of Respondent Address |
| PRECISION | Data Only Variable: Precision of Latitudinal & Longitudinal Coordinates of Respondent Address |
| CENSUS\_BLOCK | Data Only Variable: Census Block of Respondent Address |
| XUSR | Data Only Variable: Urban, Suburban, or Rural Designation of Respondent Address |
| XMSC | Data Only Variable: Metro Status Code of Respondent Address |
| XCBSAMET | Data Only Variable: Name of Core Based Metropolitan Statistical Area Respondent Resides Within |
| DOV\_Q2B\_1 | [Newspapers and news magazines] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q2B grid |
| DOV\_Q2B\_2 | [Radio] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q2B grid |
| DOV\_Q2B\_3 | [Network TV news broadcasts (For example: ABC, CBS, or NBC)] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q2B grid |
| DOV\_Q2B\_4 | [Cable TV news broadcasts (For example: CNN, Fox News, or MSNBC)] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q2B grid |
| DOV\_Q2B\_5 | [Blogs and other websites] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q2B grid |
| DOV\_Q2B\_6 | [Facebook, Twitter and other social media] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q2B grid |
| DOV\_Q5\_NEW\_5\_6A | [Large numbers of immigrants and refugees coming into the U.S.] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid |
| DOV\_Q5\_NEW\_5\_8A | [Climate change] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid |
| DOV\_Q5\_NEW\_5\_10 | [International terrorism] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid |
| DOV\_Q5\_NEW\_5\_29 | [North Korea’s nuclear program] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid |
| DOV\_Q5\_NEW\_5\_3 | [The development of China as a world power] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid |
| DOV\_Q5\_NEW\_5\_19 | [Political instability in the Middle East] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid |
| DOV\_Q5\_NEW\_5\_33 | [The military power of Russia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid |
| DOV\_Q5\_NEW\_5\_34 | [Russian influence in American elections] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid |
| DOV\_Q5\_NEW\_5\_35B | [The possibility of any new countries, friendly or unfriendly, acquiring nuclear weapons] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid |
| DOV\_Q5\_NEW\_5\_16 | [Cyber-attacks on U.S. computer networks] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid |
| DOV\_HUNGER | Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was assigned to 'Combating world hunger' or 'Providing famine relief' in Q7 |
| DOV\_Q7\_4A | [Maintaining superior military power worldwide] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q7 grid |
| DOV\_Q7\_4B | [Maintaining superior economic power worldwide] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q7 grid |
| DOV\_Q7\_5 | [Protecting the jobs of American workers] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q7 grid |
| DOV\_Q7\_10 | [Preventing the spread of nuclear weapons] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q7 grid |
| DOV\_Q7\_11 | [Promoting and defending human rights in other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q7 grid |
| DOV\_Q7\_12 | [Combating world hunger] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q7 grid |
| DOV\_Q7\_12B | [Providing famine relief ] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q7 grid |
| DOV\_Q7\_19 | [Defending our allies’ security] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q7 grid |
| DOV\_Q7\_23 | [Improving America's standing in the world] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q7 grid |
| DOV\_Q7\_7 | [Securing adequate supplies of energy] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q7 grid |
| DOV\_Q7\_16 | [Reducing our trade deficit with foreign countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q7 grid |
| DOV\_Q8\_2 | [Maintaining U.S. military superiority] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid |
| DOV\_Q8\_3 | [Placing sanctions on other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid |
| DOV\_Q8\_4 | [Signing free trade agreements with other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid |
| DOV\_Q8\_5 | [Maintaining existing alliances] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid |
| DOV\_Q8\_6 | [Building new alliances with other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid |
| DOV\_Q8\_9 | [International agreements] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid |
| DOV\_Q8\_7 | [Economic aid to other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid |
| DOV\_Q8\_8 | [Military aid to other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid |
| DOV\_Q8\_14 | [Military intervention] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid |
| DOV\_Q8\_15 | [Participating in international organizations] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid |
| DOV\_Q130\_1 | [The military] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q130 grid |
| DOV\_Q130\_2 | [US State Department] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q130 grid |
| DOV\_Q130\_3 | [Intelligence agencies] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q130 grid |
| DOV\_Q130\_4 | [Congress] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q130 grid |
| DOV\_Q130\_5 | [Think tanks] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q130 grid |
| DOV\_Q130\_6 | [Academia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q130 grid |
| DOV\_Q130\_7 | [The White House] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q130 grid |
| DOV\_Q130\_8 | [Large corporations] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q130 grid |
| DOV\_Q130\_9 | [The media] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q130 grid |
| DOV\_Q110\_1 | [Delivering a major speech or address] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q110 grid |
| DOV\_Q110\_2 | [Meeting with world leaders on overseas trips] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q110 grid |
| DOV\_Q110\_3 | [Appointing special envoys or ambassadors] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q110 grid |
| DOV\_Q110\_4 | [Using Twitter or social media] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q110 grid |
| DOV\_Q110\_5 | [Attending important world summits like the G20 or the UN General Assembly] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q110 grid |
| DOV\_Q110\_6 | [Press conferences or interviews with reporters] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q110 grid |
| DOV\_Q25\_1 | [Education] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q25 grid |
| DOV\_Q25\_2 | [Defense spending] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q25 grid |
| DOV\_Q25\_3 | [Social Security] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q25 grid |
| DOV\_Q25\_4 | [Military aid to other nations] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q25 grid |
| DOV\_Q25\_5 | [Economic aid to other nations] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q25 grid |
| DOV\_Q25\_6 | [Healthcare] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q25 grid |
| DOV\_Q12\_1 | [The United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q12 grid |
| DOV\_Q12\_2 | [China] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q12 grid |
| DOV\_Q12\_3 | [Russia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q12 grid |
| DOV\_Q12\_4 | [Japan] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q12 grid |
| DOV\_Q12\_5 | [South Korea] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q12 grid |
| DOV\_Q12\_6 | [The European Union] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q12 grid |
| DOV\_Q12\_7 | [India] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q12 grid |
| DOV\_Q12\_8 | [Germany] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q12 grid |
| DOV\_Q12\_9 | [The UK] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q12 grid |
| DOV\_Q490\_1 | [Europe] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q490 grid |
| DOV\_Q490\_2 | [Asia-Pacific] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q490 grid |
| DOV\_Q490\_3 | [The Middle East] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q490 grid |
| DOV\_Q30\_1 | [If North Korea invaded South Korea] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q30 grid |
| DOV\_Q30\_4B | [To stop or prevent a government from using chemical or biological weapons against its own people] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q30 grid |
| DOV\_Q30\_7 | [To deal with humanitarian crises] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q30 grid |
| DOV\_Q30\_12 | [If Russia invades the rest of Ukraine] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q30 grid |
| DOV\_Q30\_13 | [If Russia invades a NATO ally like Latvia, Lithuania, or Estonia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q30 grid |
| DOV\_Q30\_14 | [If China initiates a military conflict with Japan over disputed islands] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q30 grid |
| DOV\_Q30\_15 | [To fight against violent Islamic extremist groups in Iraq and Syria] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q30 grid |
| DOV\_REGION | Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent saw 'East Asia,’ ‘Europe,’ or ‘the Middle east’ in Q33abc |
| DOV\_Q50\_1 | [The U.S.] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid |
| DOV\_Q50\_2 | [Russia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid |
| DOV\_Q50\_5 | [China] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid |
| DOV\_Q50\_6 | [India] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid |
| DOV\_Q50\_7 | [The European Union as a whole] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid |
| DOV\_Q50\_8 | [South Korea] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid |
| DOV\_Q50\_9 | [Germany] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid |
| DOV\_Q50\_10 | [The UK] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid |
| DOV\_PRESIDENT | Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown 'South Korean President Moon Jae-in' or 'French President Emmanuel Macron' in Q44\_3 |
| DOV\_Q44\_1 | [Russian President Vladimir Putin] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q44 grid |
| DOV\_Q44\_2 | [Chinese President Xi Jinping] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q44 grid |
| DOV\_Q44\_3 | [South Korean President Moon Jae-in/French President Emmanuel Macron] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q44 grid |
| DOV\_Q44\_4 | [German Chancellor Angela Merkel] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q44 grid |
| DOV\_Q44\_5 | [US President Donald Trump] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q44 grid |
| DOV\_Q44\_6 | [North Korean leader Kim Jong Un] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q44 grid |
| DOV\_Q140\_1 | [The Paris Agreement that calls for countries to collectively reduce their emissions of greenhouse gases] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q140 grid |
| DOV\_Q140\_7 | [The agreement that lifts some international economic sanctions against Iran in exchange for strict limits on its nuclear program for at least the next decade] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q140 grid |
| DOV\_Q205\_1 | [Food and medical assistance to people in needy countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q205 grid |
| DOV\_Q205\_2 | [Aid that helps needy countries develop their economies] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q205 grid |
| DOV\_Q205\_3 | [Aid to help farmers in needy countries become more productive] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q205 grid |
| DOV\_Q205\_5 | [Assistance to promote democracy abroad] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q205 grid |
| DOV\_Q205\_7B | [Aid for women's education in needy countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q205 grid |
| DOV\_Q205\_8 | [Disaster relief for needy countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q205 grid |
| DOV\_Q212\_1 | [Our national security] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q212 grid |
| DOV\_Q212\_2 | [The US economy] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q212 grid |
| DOV\_Q212\_3 | [US relations with other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q212 grid |
| DOV\_Q190\_1 | [The U.S. economy] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid |
| DOV\_Q190\_3 | [Consumers like you] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid |
| DOV\_Q190\_4 | [Creating jobs in the U.S] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid |
| DOV\_Q80\_1 | [The countries of the European Union] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q80 grid |
| DOV\_Q80\_2 | [China] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q80 grid |
| DOV\_Q80\_3 | [Mexico] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q80 grid |
| DOV\_Q80\_4 | [Canada] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q80 grid |
| DOV\_Q80\_5 | [Japan] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q80 grid |
| DOV\_Q80\_7 | [South Korea] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q80 grid |
| DOV\_267A\_7 | Data Only Variable: Indicates which statement the respondent saw in Q267\_7 |
| DOV\_267A\_8 | Data Only Variable: Indicates which statement the respondent saw in Q267\_8 |
| DOV\_267A\_5 | Data Only Variable: Indicates which statement the respondent saw in Q267\_5 |
| DOV\_Q350B\_1 | Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement 'Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with Russia' in Q350B |
| DOV\_Q350B\_2 | Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement 'Actively work to limit Russia’s power' in Q350B |
| DOV\_Q351B\_1 | Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement 'Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States' in Q351B |
| DOV\_Q351B\_2 | Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement 'Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence' in Q351B |
| DOV\_VOTE | Data Only Variable: Presentation order of 'Donald Trump' and 'Hillary Clinton' in Q2016VOTE |
| Q2016VOTE | Did you happen to vote in the last presidential election, when [Insert Trump or Clinton, Depending Upon Randomization], Gary Johnson, and Jill Stein, or did you skip that one? |
| DOV\_REL1 | Data Only Variable: Religious Denomination of Respondent |
| DURATION | Duration of interview in minutes |
| PPAGE | Age |
| ppagecat | Age - 7 Categories |
| ppagect4 | Age - 4 Categories |
| PPEDUC | Education (Highest Degree Received) |
| PPEDUCAT | Education (Categorical) |
| PPETHM | Race / Ethnicity |
| PPGENDER | Gender |
| PPHHHEAD | Household Head |
| PPHHSIZE | Household Size |
| PPHOUSE | Housing Type |
| PPINCIMP | Household Income |
| PPMARIT | Marital Status |
| PPMSACAT | MSA Status |
| PPREG4 | Region 4 - Based on State of Residence |
| ppreg9 | Region 9 - Based on State of Residence |
| PPRENT | Ownership Status of Living Quarters |
| PPSTATEN | State |
| PPT01 | Presence of Household Members - Children 0 - 2 |
| PPT25 | Presence of Household Members - Children 2 - 5 |
| PPT612 | Presence of Household Members - Children 6 - 12 |
| PPT1317 | Presence of Household Members - Children 13 - 17 |
| PPT18OV | Presence of Household Members - Adults 18+ |
| PPWORK | Current Employment Status |

## 

## Key Personnel

Key personnel on the study include:

Chris Moessner – Vice President, Public Communications & Social Sciences. C. Moessner is based in Washington DC.

Phone number: (202) 747-1834

Email: [Christopher.Moessner@gfk.com](mailto:Christopher.Moessner@gfk.com)

Linda McPetrie – Vice President, Public Communications & Social Sciences. L. McPetrie is based in Princeton, NJ.

Phone number: (609) 683-6146

Email: [Linda.McPetrie@gfk.com](mailto:Linda.McPetrie@gfk.com)

Ryan Tully – Senior Research Manager, Public Affairs & Corporate Communications. R. Tully is based in Washington, D.C.

Phone number: (202) 747-1833

Email: [Ryan.Tully@gfk.com](mailto:Ryan.Tully@gfk.com)

# GfK Methodology

## Introduction

**The GfK Group (formerly Knowledge Networks)** is passionate about research in marketing, media, health, and social policy. We collaborate closely with client teams throughout the research process, while applying rigor in everything we do. We specialize in innovative online research that consistently gives leaders in business, government, and academia the confidence to make important decisions. GfK delivers affordable, statistically valid online research through KnowledgePanel® and leverages a variety of other assets, such as world-class advanced analytics, an industry-leading physician panel, an innovative platform for measuring online ad effectiveness, and a research-ready behavioral database of frequent supermarket and drug store shoppers.

GfK has recruited the first online research panel that is representative of the entire United States population. Panel members are randomly recruited through probability-based sampling, and households are provided with access to the Internet and hardware if needed.

GfK recruits panel members by using address-based sampling methods [previously GfK relied on random-digit dialing methods]. Once household members are recruited for the panel and assigned to a study sample, they are notified by email for survey taking, or panelists can visit their online member page for survey taking (instead of being contacted by telephone or postal mail). This allows surveys to be fielded very quickly and economically. In addition, this approach reduces the burden placed on respondents, since email notification is less intrusive than telephone calls, and most respondents find answering Web questionnaires more interesting and engaging than being questioned by a telephone interviewer. Furthermore, respondents have the convenience to choose what time of day to complete their assigned survey.

## The GfK Group

The GfK Group has a strong tradition in working with sophisticated academic, government, and commercial researchers to provide high quality research, samples, and analyses. The larger GfK Group offers the fundamental knowledge for governmental agencies, academics, industries, industry, retailers, services companies and the media need to provide exceptional quality in research to make effective decisions. It delivers a comprehensive range of information and consultancy services. GfK is one of the leading survey research organizations worldwide, operating in more than 100 countries with over 13,000 research staff. In 2013, the GfK Group’s sales amounted to EUR 1.49 billion.

For further information, visit our website: [www.gfk.com](http://www.gfk.com).

## KnowledgePanel Methodology Information

KnowledgePanel® is the largest online panel that relies on probability-based sampling techniques for recruitment; hence, the largest national sampling frame from which fully representative samples can be generated to produce statistically valid inferences for study populations. Our panel provides samples with the highest level of representativeness available in online research for measurement of public opinions, attitudes, and behaviors. The panel was first developed in 1999 by Knowledge Networks, a GfK company. Panel members are randomly selected so that survey results can properly represent the U.S. population with a measurable level of accuracy, features that are not obtainable from nonprobability panels (for comparisons of results from probability versus nonprobability methods, see Yeager et al., 2011).

KnowledgePanel’s recruitment process was originally based exclusively on a national Random Digit Dialing (RDD) sampling methodology. In order to improve the representation of the panel, GfK migrated to using an Address Based Sampling (ABS) methodology via the Delivery Sequence File (DSF) of the USPS for recruiting panel members in 2009. This probability-based sampling methodology improves population coverage, and provides a more effective sampling infrastructure for recruitment of hard-to-reach individuals, such as young adults and those from various minority groups. It should be noted that under the ABS recruitment, households without Internet connection are provided with a web-enabled device and free Internet service.

After initially accepting the invitation to join the panel, participants are asked to complete a short demographic survey (the initial *Core Profile Survey*); answers to which allow efficient panel sampling and weighting for future surveys. Completion of the core profile survey allows participants to become active panel members. As in the past, all respondents are provided the same privacy terms and confidentiality protections.

### ABS Recruitment.

The DSF-based sampling frame we use for address selection is enhanced with a series of refinements – such as the appendage of various ancillary data to each address – to facilitate complex stratification plans[[1]](#footnote-1). Taking advantage of such refinements, quarterly samples are selected using a disproportionate stratified sampling methodology across the following four strata:

1. Hispanic households with at least one 18 to 24 year-old
2. Remaining Hispanic households
3. Remaining households with at least one 18 to24 year-old
4. All remaining households

Adults from sampled households are invited to join KnowledgePanel through a series of mailings, including an initial invitation letter, a reminder postcard, and a subsequent follow-up letter. Given that a subset of physical addresses can be matched to a corresponding landline telephone number, about 5 weeks after the initial mailing, telephone refusal-conversion calls are made to nonresponding households for which a telephone number is matched. Invited households can join the panel by:

* Completing and mailing back a paper form in a postage-paid envelope
* Calling a toll-free hotline phone number maintained by GfK
* Going to a designated GfK website and completing the recruitment form online

During the initial recruitment survey, attempts are made to recruit every household member who is at least 13 years of age to become an active member. For teenage household members, consent is secured from a parent or legal guardian, and no direct communication with teenagers is attempted prior to obtaining consent. While surveys can be conducted with teens directly, in most instances teen surveys are conducted by first selecting a sample of active members who are parents. This parent route alternative, while slightly more expensive, makes it possible to reach a more representative sample of teens.

### Household Member Recruitment.

For all recruitment efforts, during the initial recruitment survey, all household members are enumerated. Following enumeration, attempts are made to recruit every household member who is at least 13 years old to participate in KnowledgePanel surveys. For household members aged 13 to 17, consent is collected from the parents or the legal guardian during the initial recruitment interview. If no consent is given, no further direct communication with the teenagers is attempted.

## Survey Sampling from KnowledgePanel

Once panel members are recruited and profiled by taking our Core Profile Survey, they become eligible for selection for client surveys. Typically, our specific survey samples are based on equal probability selection method (EPSEM) from the panel for general population surveys. Customized stratified random sampling based on profile data can also be carried out as required by the study design to reduce screening costs for rare subgroups.

The general sampling rule is to assign no more than one survey per week to individual members. Allowing for rare exceptions during some weeks, this limits a member’s total assignments per month to four or six surveys. In certain cases, a survey sample calls for pre-screening, that is, members are drawn from a subsample of the panel (such as females, Republicans, grocery shoppers, etc.).  In such cases, care is taken to ensure that all subsequent survey samples drawn that week are selected in such a way as to result in a sample that remains representative of the panel distributions.

For this survey, the following representative samples were selected:

* A nationally representative sample of U.S. adults (18 and older);
* A nationally representative sample of U.S. millennial adults (18 to 36);
* A representative sample of adults (18 and older) from select Midwestern states

## Survey Administration

Once assigned to a survey, members receive a notification email letting them know there is a new survey available for them to take. This email notification contains a link that sends them to the survey questionnaire. No login name or password is required. The field period depends on the client’s needs and can range anywhere from a few hours to several weeks.

After three days, automatic email reminders are sent to all non-responding panel members in the sample. If email reminders do not generate a sufficient response, an automated telephone reminder call can be initiated. The usual protocol is to wait at least three to four days after the email reminder before calling. To assist panel members with their survey taking, each individual has a personalized “home page” that lists all the surveys that were assigned to that member and have yet to be completed.

GfK also operates an ongoing modest incentive program to encourage participation and create member loyalty. Members can enter special raffles or can be entered into special sweepstakes with both cash rewards and other prizes to be won.

The typical survey commitment for panel members is one survey per week or four per month with duration of 10 to 15 minutes per survey. In the case of longer surveys, an additional incentive is typically provided.

## Response Rates

As a member of the American Association of Public Opinion Researchers (AAPOR), GfK follows the AAPOR standards for response rate reporting. While the AAPOR standards were established for single survey administrations and not for multi-stage panel surveys; however, we use the Callegaro-DiSogra (2008) algorithms for calculations of response rates for KnowledgePanel surveys[[2]](#footnote-2). Typically, completion rate for KnowledgePanel surveys is about 65% with possibility of minor variations due to survey length, topic, and other fielding characteristics. In contrast, virtually all surveys that employ nonprobability online panels typically achieve completion rates that are in low single digits. This means aside from the fact that nonprobability panels are inherently not representative of any known populations, the effective size of KnowledgePanel (35,750 = 55,000 × 0.65) would be equivalent to a nonprobability panel with 1,787,500 members that on average secures completion rates close to 2%.

## Sample Weighting

As detailed above, significant resources and infrastructure are devoted to the recruitment process for the KnowledgePanel (KP) so that our active panel members can properly represent the adult population of the U.S. This representation is not only achieved with respect to a broad set of geodemographic indicators, but also hard-to-reach adults – such as those without Internet access or Spanish language dominant Hispanics – are recruited in proper proportions as well. Consequently, the raw distribution of KP mirrors that of the US adults fairly closely, baring occasional disparities that may emerge for certain subgroups due to differential attrition.

In spite of the above, for selection of general population samples from KP a patented methodology has been developed that ensures all samples behave as EPSEM. Briefly, this methodology starts by weighting the pool of active members to the geodemographic benchmarks secured from the latest March supplement of the Current Population Survey (CPS) along several dimensions. Using the resulting weights as measure of size, in the next step a PPS (probability proportional to size) procedure is used to select study specific samples. It is the application of this PPS methodology with the imposed size measures that produces fully self-weighing samples from KP, for which each sample member can carry a design weight of unity. Moreover, in instances where a study design requires any form of oversampling of certain subgroups, such departures from an EPSEM design are accounted for by adjusting the design weights in reference to the CPS benchmarks for the population of interest.

The geodemographic benchmarks used to weight the active panel members for computation of size measures include:

* Gender (Male/Female)
* Age (18–29, 30–44, 45–59, and 60+)
* Race/Hispanic ethnicity (White/Non-Hispanic, Black/Non-Hispanic, Other/Non-Hispanic, 2+ Races/Non-Hispanic, Hispanic)
* Education (Less than High School, High School, Some College, Bachelor and beyond)
* Census Region (Northeast, Midwest, South, West)
* Household income (under $10k, $10K to <$25k, $25K to <$50k, $50K to <$75k, $75K to <$100k, $100K to <$150k, and $150K+)
* Home ownership status (Own, Rent/Other)
* Metropolitan Area (Yes, No)

## Study-Specific Post-Stratification Weights

Once the study sample has been selected and the survey administered, and all the survey data are edited and made final, design weights are adjusted to account for any differential nonresponse that may have resulted during the field period. Depending on the specific target population for a given study, geodemographic distributions for the corresponding population are obtained from the CPS, the American Community Survey (ACS), or in certain instances from the weighted KP profile data. For this purpose an iterative proportional fitting (raking) procedure is used to produce the final weights. In the final step, calculated weights are examined to identify and, if necessary, trim outliers at the extreme upper and lower tails of the weight distribution. The resulting weights are then scaled to aggregate to the total sample size of all eligible respondents.

For this study, the following weights were created using benchmarks obtained from the 2015 American Community Survey (ACS) for the following groups:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Weight** | **Description** | **Sample Source** |
| Weight1 | General Population (Age 18 or Older) | General Population |
| Weight2 | Midwestern States (Age 18 or Older) | General Population & Midwestern States |
| Weight3 | Millennials (Age 18 to 36) | General Population & Millennials |
| Weight4 | Non-Midwestern States (Age 18 or Older) | General Population |
| Weight5 | Non-Millennials (Age 37 or Older) | General Population |
| Weight6 | Total Sample (Age 18 or Older) | All Samples |

The following benchmark distributions were used for the raking adjustment of weights (by weight):

***General Population (Weight1):***

• Gender (Male, Female) by Age (18-29, 30-44, 45-59, 60 or older)

•  Race/Ethnicity (White, Non-Hispanic; Black, Non-Hispanic; Other, Non-Hispanic; 2 or more races, Non-Hispanic; Hispanic)

•  Census Region (Northeast, Midwest, South, West) by Metropolitan Status (Metro, Non-Metro)

•  Education (High School or less, Some College, Bachelor’s degree or higher)

•  Household Income (Less than $25,000, $25,000 – $49,999; $50,000 –$74,999, $75,000 – $99,999, $100,000 – $149,999, $150,000 or more)

***Midwestern States (Weight2):***

• Gender (Male, Female) by Age (18-29, 30-44, 45-59, 60 or older)

•  Race/Ethnicity (White, Non-Hispanic; Black, Non-Hispanic; Other, Non-Hispanic; 2 or more races, Non-Hispanic; Hispanic)

•  State (North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Minnesota, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Missouri)

•  Metropolitan Status (Metro, Non-Metro)

•  Education (High School or less, Some College, Bachelor’s degree or higher)

•  Household Income (Less than $25,000, $25,000 – $49,999; $50,000 –$74,999, $75,000 – $99,999, $100,000 – $149,999, $150,000 or more)

***Millennials (Weight3):***

• Gender (Male, Female) by Age (18-24, 25-29, 30-36)

•  Race/Ethnicity (White, Non-Hispanic; Black, Non-Hispanic; Other, Non-Hispanic; 2 or more races, Non-Hispanic; Hispanic)

•  Census Region (Northeast, Midwest, South, West) by Metropolitan Status (Metro, Non-Metro)

•  Education (High School or less, Some College, Bachelor’s degree or higher)

•  Household Income (Less than $25,000, $25,000 – $49,999; $50,000 –$74,999, $75,000 – $99,999, $100,000 – $149,999, $150,000 or more)

***Non-Midwestern States (Weight4):***

• Gender (Male, Female) by Age (18-29, 30-44, 45-59, 60 or older)

•  Race/Ethnicity (White, Non-Hispanic; Black, Non-Hispanic; Other, Non-Hispanic; 2 or more races, Non-Hispanic; Hispanic)

•  Census Region (Northeast, Midwest, South, West) by Metropolitan Status (Metro, Non-Metro)

•  Education (High School or less, Some College, Bachelor’s degree or higher)

•  Household Income (Less than $25,000, $25,000 – $49,999; $50,000 –$74,999, $75,000 – $99,999, $100,000 – $149,999, $150,000 or more)

***Non-Millennials (Weight5):***

• Gender (Male, Female) by Age (37-44, 45-59, 60 or older)

•  Race/Ethnicity (White, Non-Hispanic; Black, Non-Hispanic; Other, Non-Hispanic; 2 or more races, Non-Hispanic; Hispanic)

•  Census Region (Northeast, Midwest, South, West) by Metropolitan Status (Metro, Non-Metro)

•  Education (High School or less, Some College, Bachelor’s degree or higher)

•  Household Income (Less than $25,000, $25,000 – $49,999; $50,000 –$74,999, $75,000 – $99,999, $100,000 – $149,999, $150,000 or more)

***Total Sample (Weight6):***

*Within Sample Groups*

• Gender (Male, Female) by Age (18-24, 25-29, 30-36, 37-44, 45-59, 60 or older)

•  Race/Ethnicity (White, Non-Hispanic; Black, Non-Hispanic; Other, Non-Hispanic; 2 or more races, Non-Hispanic; Hispanic; Note: Other, Non-Hispanic and 2 or more races categories were collapsed for Millennials Midwestern States and Non-Millennial Midwestern States Samples)

•  Census Region (Northeast, Midwest, South, West)

•  Metropolitan Status (Metro, Non-Metro)

•  Education (High School or less, Some College, Bachelor’s degree or higher)

•  Household Income (Less than $25,000, $25,000 – $49,999; $50,000 –$74,999, $75,000 – $99,999, $100,000 – $149,999, $150,000 or more; Note: $100,000 – $149,999 and $150,000 or more categories were collapsed for the Millennials Midwestern States Samples)

*Overall*

•  Census Region (Northeast, Midwest, South, West) by Metropolitan Status (Metro, Non-Metro)

Additional Information on the weights are as follows (by weight):

Trimming:

Weight1: None

Weight2: None

Weight3: None

Weight4: None

Weight5: None

Weight6: For Non-Millennial, Non-Midwestern Respondents Only (0.49% , 99.752% ); All other respondents had no trimming

Design effect:

Weight1: 1.1758

Weight2: 1.2361

Weight3: 1.1767

Weight4: 1.2000

Weight5: 1.2068

Weight6: 1.3018

Margin of Error: (95% confidence level)

Weight1: +/- 2.4%

Weight2: +/- 3.8%

Weight3: +/- 3.7%

Weight4: +/- 2.7%

Weight5: +/- 2.7%

Weight6: +/- 2.1%

Range of Weights:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Median | Coeff. of Variation | 1st Pctl | 99th Pctl | Sum |
| Weight1 | 2,020 | 0.242 | 3.351 | 1 | 0.894 | 41.923 | 0.353 | 2.369 | 2,020 |
| Weight2 | 828 | 0.268 | 4.204 | 1 | 0.871 | 48.588 | 0.395 | 2.582 | 828 |
| Weight3 | 805 | 0.245 | 3.527 | 1 | 0.908 | 42.040 | 0.371 | 2.430 | 805 |
| Weight4 | 1,604 | 0.245 | 3.572 | 1 | 0.877 | 44.720 | 0.337 | 2.491 | 1,604 |
| Weight5 | 1,543 | 0.257 | 4.294 | 1 | 0.869 | 45.471 | 0.340 | 2.728 | 1,543 |
| Weight6 | 2,760 | 0.270 | 4.360 | 1 | 0.898 | 54.936 | 0.293 | 2.977 | 2,760 |

Detailed information on the demographic distributions of the benchmarks can be found in Appendix D.

# Appendix A: Questionnaire – Main Survey

**III. INTRODUCTION**

In this survey, we’d like your opinions about the big foreign policy issues of the day. There is no right or wrong answer and please answer the questions based on your knowledge. If you do not know the answer to a question, you can skip it and go on to the next question.

**A. SECTION I**

Base: All Respondents

**q2b. [s]**

Which ONE of the following do you turn to most often for news about the US and the world?

*Scripter: Please randomize and record the order of the statements shown.*

1. Newspapers and news magazines

2. Radio

3. Network TV news broadcasts (For example: ABC, CBS, or NBC )

4. Cable TV news broadcasts (For example: CNN, Fox News, or MSNBC)

5. Blogs and other websites

6. Facebook, Twitter and other social media

Base: All Respondents

**q2b\_followup. [o]**

You indicated that you turn to **[if q2b=1, insert:** “newspapers and news magazines”**; if q2b=2, insert:** “radio”**; if q2b=3, insert:** “network TV news broadcasts (For example: ABC, NBC, or CBS)”**; if q2b=4, insert:** “cable TV news broadcasts (for example: CNN, Fox News, or MSNBC)”**; if q2b=5, insert:** “blogs and other websites”**; if q2b=6, insert:** “Facebook, Twitter and other social media”**]** most often for news about the US and the world. Please provide the name of the **[if q2b=1-4, insert:** “outlet”**; if q2b=5-6, insert:** “website”**]** you turn to most often in the box below.

**[insert small text box]**

Base: All Respondents

**q3\_1. [s]**

In order to make sure that your browser is working correctly, please select number 4 from the below list.

1. 1

2. 2

3. 3

4. 4

5. 5

Base: All Respondents

**q4. [s]**

When it comes to overall US foreign policy, do you feel things are generally heading in the right direction, or are they off on the wrong track?

1. Right direction

2. Wrong track

Base: All Respondents

**q3. [s]**

Do you think it will be best for the future of the country if we take an active part in world affairs or if we stay out of world affairs?

1. Active part

2. Stay out

Base: All Respondents

**q5\_new. [grid, sp across mp down]**

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all:

*Scripter*: *Please randomize and record the order of the statements shown.*

Statements in row:

5/6a. Large numbers of immigrants and refugees coming into the U.S.

5/8a. Climate change

5/10. International terrorism

5/29. North Korea’s nuclear program

5/3. The development of China as a world power

5/19. Political instability in the Middle East

5/33. The military power of Russia

5/34. Russian influence in American elections

5/35b. The possibility of any new countries, friendly or unfriendly, acquiring nuclear weapons

5/16. Cyber-attacks on U.S. computer networks

Answers in column:

1. Critical threat

2. Important but not critical threat

3. Not an important threat

Base: All Respondents

**q516b. [s]**

When it comes to cyber-attacks, which of the following are you most concerned about?

1. Theft of Americans’ personal information and finances

2. Damage to US infrastructure

3. Theft of classified government information

*Scripter: Please create DOV\_Hunger and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 ‘Hunger’ or 2 ‘Relief’*

Base: All Respondents

**q7. [grid, sp across mp down]**

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all:

*Scripter*: *Please randomly select and record 10 statements to show the respondents. Of the 10 statements selected, please randomize and record the order of the 10 statements shown.*

Statements in row:

7/4a. Maintaining superior military power worldwide

7/4b. Maintaining superior economic power worldwide

7/5. Protecting the jobs of American workers

7/10. Preventing the spread of nuclear weapons

7/11. Promoting and defending human rights in other countries

7/12. Combating world hunger **[show if dov\_hunger=1]**

7/12b. Providing famine relief **[show if dov\_hunger=2]**

7/19. Defending our allies’ security

7/23. Improving America's standing in the world

7/7. Securing adequate supplies of energy

7/16. Reducing our trade deficit with foreign countries

Answers in column:

1. Very important

2. Somewhat important

3. Not important at all

Base: All Respondents

**q67a. [s]**

How much of a role do you think American values like democracy should play in shaping US foreign policy - a major role, a minor role or no role at all?

1. A major role

2. A minor role

3. No role at all

Base: All Respondents

**q67b. [s]**

How much of a role do you think American values like human rights should play in shaping US foreign policy - a major role, a minor role or no role at all?

1. A major role

2. A minor role

3. No role at all

Base: All Respondents

**q8. [grid, sp across mp down]**

How effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States – very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all?

*Scripter*: *Please randomize and record the order of the statements in row.*

Statements in row:

8/2. Maintaining U.S. military superiority

8/3. Placing sanctions on other countries

8/4. Signing free trade agreements with other countries

8/5. Maintaining existing alliances

8/6. Building new alliances with other countries

8/9. International agreements

8/7. Economic aid to other countries

8/8. Military aid to other countries

8/14. Military intervention

8/15. Participating in international organizations

Answers in column:

1. Very effective

2. Somewhat effective

3. Not very effective

4. Not effective at all

Base: All Respondents

**q130. [grid, sp across mp down]**

Please tell me how much confidence you have in the ability of leaders in each of these institutions to shape policies that benefit the United States:

*Scripter*: *Please randomize and record the order of the statements in row.*

Statements in row:

130/1. The military

130/2. US State Department

130/3. Intelligence agencies

130/4. Congress

130/5. Think tanks

130/6. Academia

130/7. The White House

130/8. Large corporations

130/9. The media

Answers in column:

1. A great deal

2. A fair amount

3. Not very much

4. No confidence at all

Base: All Respondents

**q110. [grid, sp across mp down]**

How effective are the following ways for a president to inform the US and international publics about an administration's foreign policy?

*Scripter*: *Please randomize and record the order of the statements in row.*

Statements in row:

110/1. Delivering a major speech or address

110/2. Meeting with world leaders on overseas trips

110/3. Appointing special envoys or ambassadors

110/4. Using Twitter or social media

110/5. Attending important world summits like the G20 or the UN General Assembly

110/6. Press conferences or interviews with reporters

Answers in column:

1. Very effective

2. Somewhat effective

3. Somewhat ineffective

4. Very ineffective

Base: All Respondents

**introq11.** **[display]**

*Next are questions that ask about the US and other countries.*

Base: All Respondents

**q11\_new. [s]**

What kind of leadership role should the United States play in the world? Should it be the dominant world leader, or should it play a shared leadership role, or should it not play any leadership role?

1. Dominant world leader

2. Play a shared leadership role

3. Not play any leadership role

Base: All Respondents

**q21. [s]**

Some people say the United States has a unique character that makes it the greatest country in the world. Others say that every country is unique, and the United States is no greater than other nations. Which view is closer to your own?

1. The greatest country in the world

2. No greater than other nations

Base: All Respondents

**q15. [s]**

Thinking about the next generation of Americans who are children today, the way things are going, do you think economically they will be better off, worse off, or about the same as the generation of adults who are working today?

1. Better off

2. Worse off

3. About the same

Base: All Respondents

**q25. [grid, sp across mp down]**

Below is a list of present federal government programs. For each, please select whether you feel it should be expanded, cut back or kept about the same.

*Scripter*: *Please randomize and record the order of the statements in row.*

Statements in row:

25/1. Education

25/2. Defense spending

25/3. Social Security

25/4. Military aid to other nations

25/5. Economic aid to other nations

25/6. Healthcare

Answers in column:

1. Expanded

2. Cut back

3. Kept about the same

4. Not sure

Base: All Respondents

**q12. [grid, sp across mp down]**

How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?

*Scripter*: *Please randomize and record the order of the statements in row.*

Statements in row:

12/1. The United States

12/2. China

12/3. Russia

12/4. Japan

12/5. South Korea

12/6. The European Union

12/7. India

12/8. Germany

12/9. The UK

Answers in column:

1. A great deal

2. A fair amount

3. Not very much

4. No confidence at all

Base: All Respondents

**q490. [grid, sp across mp down]**

Do you think that the U.S. military presence in the following regions should be increased, maintained at its present level, or do you think it should be decreased?

*Scripter*: *Please randomize and record the order of the statements in row.*

Statements in row:

490/1. Europe

490/2. Asia-Pacific

490/3. The Middle East

Answers in column:

1. Increased

2. Maintained at its present level

3. Decreased

Base: All Respondents

**q30. [grid, sp across mp down]**

There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would you favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops:

*Scripter*: *Please randomize and record the order of the statements in row.*

Statements in row:

30/1. If North Korea invaded South Korea

30/4b. To stop or prevent a government from using chemical or biological weapons against its own people

30/7. To deal with humanitarian crises

30/12. If Russia invades the rest of Ukraine

30/13. If Russia invades a NATO ally like Latvia, Lithuania, or Estonia

30/14. If China initiates a military conflict with Japan over disputed islands

30/15. To fight against violent Islamic extremist groups in Iraq and Syria

Answers in column:

1. Favor

2. Oppose

*Scripter: Please create DOV\_Region and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 ‘East Asia’, 2 ‘Europe’, 3 ‘the Middle east’*

Base: All Respondents

**q33abc. [s]**

Which of the following comes closest to your view on US security alliances in **[if dov\_region=1, insert** “East Asia”**; if dov\_region=2, insert** “Europe”**; if dov\_region=3, insert** “the Middle East”**]**. Do they:

1. Mostly benefit the US

2. Mostly benefit our allies

3. Benefit both the US and our allies

4. Benefit neither

Base: All Respondents

**q615. [s]**

Some people say that NATO is still essential to our country’s security. Others say it is no longer essential. Which of these views is closer to your own?

1. Still essential

2. No longer essential

Base: All Respondents

**q616. [s]**

As you may know, the United States has long urged its NATO allies to spend more on defense. They have recently agreed to increase defense spending but have not yet done so. Which of the following options comes closer to your view:

*SCRIPTER: Please randomize and record the order of the statements shown.*

1. The United States should encourage greater allied defense spending through persuasion and diplomatic means while maintaining a firm commitment to defend NATO members.

2. The United States should withhold its commitment to defend NATO members until NATO allies actually spend more on defense.

Base: All Respondents

**q50. [grid, sp across mp down]**

I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential.

*Scripter: Please randomize and record all statements in row*

Statements in row:

1. The U.S.

2. Russia

5. China

6. India

7. The European Union as a whole

8. South Korea

9. Germany

10. The UK

Answers in column:

0. 0

1. 1

2. 2

3. 3

4. 4

5. 5

6. 6

7. 7

8. 8

9. 9

10. 10

*Scripter: Please create DOV\_President and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 ‘Korean, 2 ‘French’*

Base: All Respondents

**q44. [grid, sp across mp down]**

Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable view of the following world leaders?

*SCRIPTER: Please randomize and record the order of the statements shown.*

Statements in row:

44/1. Russian President Vladimir Putin

44/2. Chinese President Xi Jinping

44/3. **[if dov\_president=1, insert:** “South Korean President Moon Jae-in”**; if dov\_president=2, insert:** “French President Emmanuel Macron”**]**

44/4. German Chancellor Angela Merkel

44/5. US President Donald Trump

44/6. North Korean leader Kim Jong Un

Answers in column:

1. Very favorable

2. Somewhat favorable

3. Somewhat unfavorable

4. Very unfavorable

Base: All Respondents

**q215. [s]**

Do you think the United States plays a more important and powerful role as a world leader today compared to 10 years ago, a less important role, or about as important a role as a world leader as it did 10 years ago?

1. More important

2. Less important

3. As important

Base: All Respondents

**introq140.** **[display]**

*And now a few items about various forms of US diplomacy.*

Base: All Respondents

**q140. [grid, sp across mp down]**

Based on what you know, do you think the U.S. should or should not participate in the following international agreements?

*SCRIPTER: Please randomize and record the order of the statements shown.*

Statements in row:

140/1. The Paris Agreement that calls for countries to collectively reduce their emissions of greenhouse gases

140/7. The agreement that lifts some international economic sanctions against Iran in exchange for strict limits on its nuclear program for at least the next decade

Answers in column:

1. Should participate

2. Should not participate

Base: All Respondents

**q205. [grid, sp across mp down]**

Do you favor or oppose the following types of foreign aid:

*SCRIPTER: Please randomize and record the order of the statements shown.*

Statements in rows:

205/1. Food and medical assistance to people in needy countries

205/2. Aid that helps needy countries develop their economies

205/3. Aid to help farmers in needy countries become more productive

205/5. Assistance to promote democracy abroad

205/7b. Aid for women's education in needy countries

205/8. Disaster relief for needy countries

Answers in column:

1. Favor

2. Oppose

Base: All Respondents

**q212. [grid, sp across mp down]**

Do you think that giving foreign aid to other countries helps, hurts, or makes no difference for:

*SCRIPTER: Please randomize and record the order of the statements shown.*

Statements in row:

212/1. Our national security

212/2. The US economy

212/3. US relations with other countries

Answer in column:

1. Helps

2. Hurts

3. Makes no difference

Base: All respondents

**q10. [s]**

Turning to something else, do you believe that globalization, especially the increasing connections of our economy with others around the world, is mostly good or mostly bad for the United States?

1. Mostly good

2. Mostly bad

Base: All Respondents

**q190. [grid, sp across mp down]**

Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for:

*Scripter: Please randomize and record all statements in row*

Statements in row:

190/1. The U.S. economy

190/3. Consumers like you

190/4. Creating jobs in the U.S.

Answers in column:

1. Good

2. Bad

Base: All respondents

**q189. [s]**

Which of the following comes closest to your view on trade deals between the US and other countries. Do they:

1. Mostly benefit the US

2. Mostly benefit other countries

3. Benefit both the US and other countries

4. Benefit neither

Base: All respondents

**q190n. [s]**

Overall, do you think the North American Free Trade Agreement, also known as NAFTA, is good or bad for the US economy?

1. Good

2. Bad

Base: All Respondents

**q80. [grid, sp across mp down]**

In general, do you think that the following countries practice fair or unfair trade with the United States?

*SCRIPTER: Please randomize and record the order of the statements shown.*

Statements in rows:

80/1. The countries of the European Union

80/2. China

80/3. Mexico

80/4. Canada

80/5. Japan

80/7. South Korea

Answers in column:

1. Practice fair trade

2. Practice unfair trade

Base: All Respondents

**q70. [s]**

Which of the following three positions comes closest to your point of view about lowering trade barriers such as tariffs?

1. I favor agreements to lower trade barriers provided the government has programs to help workers who lose their jobs

2. I favor agreements to lower trade barriers, but I oppose government programs to help workers who lose their jobs

3. I oppose agreements to lower trade barriers

Base: All Respondents

**q206. [s]**

Overall, which do you think is more responsible for the decline in American manufacturing employment?

*SCRIPTER: Please randomize and record the order of the statements shown.*

1. International trade, moving jobs overseas

2. Automation, replacing workers with machines

Base: All Respondents

**q207. [s]**

Do you think the current administration’s approach to international affairs will do:

1. More to protect American workers

2. More to harm American workers

3. Make no difference to American workers

Base: All Respondents

**introq703.** **[display]**

*And now a few questions about national security.*

Base: All Respondents

**q275b. [s]**

Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, has US foreign policy made the US:

1. More safe

2. Less safe

3. Made no difference

Base: All Respondents

**q277. [s]**

Do you think the current administration’s approach to international affairs will make the United States:

1. Safer from terrorism

2. Less safe from terrorism

3. Make no difference

*Scripter: Please create DOV\_267A\_5 and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 or 2*

*Scripter: Please create DOV\_267A\_7 and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 or 2*

*Scripter: Please create DOV\_267A\_8 and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 or 2*

Base: All respondents

**q267. [grid, sp across mp down]**

Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

Statements in row:

267a/1. Accepting Syrian refugees into the United States

267a/7. **[show if dov\_267a\_7=1]**Helping to negotiate a peace agreement that allows President Bashar al-Assad of Syria to remain in power

267d/7. **[show if dov\_267a\_7=2]** Helping to negotiate a peace agreement that would put a new President in place other than President Bashar al-Assad

267a/8. **[show if dov\_267a\_8=1]** Conducting airstrikes against violent Islamic extremist groups /

267d/8 **[show if dov\_267a\_8=2]** Conducting airstrikes against President Bashar al-Assad’s regime

267a/5. **[show if dov\_267a\_5=1]** Sending combat troops into Syria to fight violent Islamic extremist groups

267d/5 **[show if dov\_267a\_5=2]**Sending combat troops into Syria to forcibly remove Syrian President Bashar al-Assad from power

Answers in column:

1. Support

2. Oppose

Base: All respondents

**q350b. [s]**

In dealing with Russia, do you think the U.S. should:

*Scripter: Please randomize and record order of response options*

1. Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with Russia

2. Actively work to limit Russia’s power

Base: All respondents

**q351b. [s]**

And do you think that generally Russia is:

*Scripter: Please randomize and record order of response options*

1. Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States

2. Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence

Base: All respondents

**q675. [s]**

Do you think economic sanctions against Russia should be:

1. Increased

2. Decreased

3. Kept about the same

4. Stopped altogether

Base: All respondents

**q374. [grid, sp across mp down]**

Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:

Statements in row:

374/5. Accept that North Korea will produce additional nuclear weapons

374/12. Accept that North Korea will possess nuclear weapons in exchange for an agreement guaranteeing it will not produce more of them

374/6. Impose tighter economic sanctions on North Korea

374/8. Conduct airstrikes against North Korea’s nuclear production facilities

374/9. Send U.S. troops to destroy North Korea’s nuclear facilities

374/11. Impose sanctions on Chinese companies and banks that do business with North Korea

Answers in column:

1. Support

2. Oppose

1. Tryo undertake friendly cooperation and engage

Base: All respondents

**q405. [s]**

When it comes to immigration, which comes closest to your view aboutillegalimmigrants who are currently working in the U.S.?

1. They should be allowed to stay in their jobs and to apply for U.S. citizenship

2. They should be allowed to stay in their jobs and to eventually apply for U.S. citizenship only if they pay a penalty and wait a number of years

3. They should be allowed to stay in their jobs with work permits, but not apply for U.S. citizenship

4. They should be required to leave their jobs and leave the U.S.

**B SECTION II - DEMOGRAPHICS**

Base: All respondents

**q1005. [s]**

In general, do you think of yourself as:

1. Extremely liberal

2. Liberal

3. Slightly liberal

4. Moderate, middle of the road

5. Slightly conservative

6. Conservative

7. Extremely conservative

Base: All respondents

**q1010. [s]**

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an independent, or what?

1. Republican

2. Democrat

3. Independent

4. Other

Base: Show if Q1010=1 ‘Republican’

**q1015. [s]**

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?

1. Strong

2. Not strong

Base: Show if Q1010=2 ‘Democrat’

**q1020. [s]**

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?

1. Strong

2. Not strong

Base: Show if Q1010=3 ‘Independent’

**q1025. [s]**

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

1. Republican

2. Democratic

3. Neither

Base: Show if XPPA1648=14 ‘Missing’

**ppa1648. [s]**

What is your religion?

1. Catholic

2. Evangelical or Protestant Christian (Baptist, Lutheran, Methodist, Presbyterian, Episcopalian, Pentecostal, Church of Christ, etc.)

3. Jehovah’s Witness

4. Mormon

5. Jewish

6. Islam/Muslim

7. Orthodox Church (Greek or Russian)

8. Hindu

9. Buddhist

10. Unitarian (Universalist)

11. Other Christian religion, please specify: **[insert small text box]**

12. Other non-Christian religion, please specify: **[insert small text box]**

13. No religion, not a believer, atheist, agnostic

*Scripter: Please create DOV\_REL1 and use the variable name ‘Religious Denomination of Respondent’ Merge coding of XPPA1648 and PPA1648 with the following values:*

*1. Catholic*

*2. Evangelical or Protestant Christian (Baptist, Lutheran, Methodist, Presbyterian, Episcopalian, Pentecostal, Church of Christ, etc.)*

*3. Jehovah’s Witness*

*4. Mormon*

*5. Jewish*

*6. Islam/Muslim*

*7. Orthodox Church (Greek or Russian)*

*8. Hindu*

*9. Buddhist*

*10. Unitarian (Universalist)*

*11. Other Christian religion, please specify: [Scripter: Please insert small text box]*

*12. Other non-Christian religion, please specify: [Scripter: Please insert small text box]*

*13. No religion, not a believer, atheist, agnostic*

*-1. Refused*

*Please use the following merging criteria, IF XPPA1648≠14 ‘ Missing’ THEN DOV\_REL1= XPPA1648; IF XPPA1648=14 ‘Missing’ THEN DOV\_REL1= PPA1648.*

*Scripter: Please create DOV\_VOTE and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 ‘Trump First’ or 2 ‘Clinton First’*

Base: All respondents

**2016vote. [s]**

Did you happen to vote in the last presidential election, when **[if dov\_vote=1, insert:** “Donald Trump ran against Hillary Clinton,”**; if dov\_vote=2, insert:** “Hillary Clinton ran against Donald Trump,”**]**, Gary Johnson, and Jill Stein, or did you skip that one?

1. **[show if dov\_vote=1** “Yes, I voted for Donald Trump**”; show if dov\_vote=2** “Yes, I voted for Hillary Clinton”**]**

2. **[show if dov\_vote=1** “Yes, I voted for Hillary Clinton” **; show if dov\_vote=2** “Yes, I voted for Donald Trump”**]**

3. Yes, I voted for Gary Johnson

4. Yes, I voted for Jill Stein

5. No, I skipped voting

Base: All respondents

**q1065. [s]**

Would you be willing to speak with a reporter in a follow-up telephone call or by email to discuss some of these topics?

1. Yes

2. No

*Scripter: Please store ‘Phone Number” and ‘First Name’ fields as PII data in lookup tables for Q1065A*

Base: Show if Q1065=1 ‘Yes’

**q1065A. [o]**

Thank you for agreeing to participate. Please provide your phone number and first name in the fields below so the reporter might know who to ask for:

Phone Number: **[insert small text box]**

First Name: **[insert small text box]**

*Scripter: Please include standard close.*

**END OF QUESTIONNAIRE**

# Appendix B: Codebook[[3]](#footnote-3)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q2B Which ONE of the following do you turn to most often for news about the US and the world?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 32 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1 Newspapers and news magazines | 239 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 9.8 |
| 2 Radio | 216 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 17.6 |
| 3 Network TV news broadcasts (For example: ABC, CBS, or NBC) | 904 | 32.8 | 32.8 | 50.4 |
| 4 Cable TV news broadcasts (For example: CNN, Fox News, or MSNBC) | 793 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 79.1 |
| 5 Blogs and other websites | 262 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 88.6 |
| 6 Facebook, Twitter and other social media | 314 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q3\_1 In order to make sure that your browser is working correctly, please select number 4 from the below list.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 5 | .2 | .2 | .2 |
| 1 1 | 12 | .4 | .4 | .6 |
| 2 2 | 8 | .3 | .3 | .9 |
| 3 3 | 9 | .3 | .3 | 1.2 |
| 4 4 | 2721 | 98.6 | 98.6 | 99.8 |
| 5 5 | 5 | .2 | .2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q4 When it comes to overall US foreign policy, do you feel things are generally heading in the right direction, or are they off on the wrong track?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 34 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1 Right direction | 1003 | 36.3 | 36.3 | 37.6 |
| 2 Wrong track | 1723 | 62.4 | 62.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q3 Do you think it will be best for the future of the country if we take an active part in world affairs or if we stay out of world affairs?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 37 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 1 Active part | 1835 | 66.5 | 66.5 | 67.8 |
| 2 Stay out | 888 | 32.2 | 32.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q5\_NEW\_5\_6A [Large numbers of immigrants and refugees coming into the U.S.] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 9 | .3 | .3 | .3 |
| 1 Critical threat | 1012 | 36.7 | 36.7 | 37.0 |
| 2 Important but not critical threat | 1070 | 38.8 | 38.8 | 75.8 |
| 3 Not an important threat | 669 | 24.2 | 24.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q5\_NEW\_5\_8A [Climate change] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 9 | .3 | .3 | .3 |
| 1 Critical threat | 1243 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.4 |
| 2 Important but not critical threat | 873 | 31.6 | 31.6 | 77.0 |
| 3 Not an important threat | 635 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q5\_NEW\_5\_10 [International terrorism] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 5 | .2 | .2 | .2 |
| 1 Critical threat | 2049 | 74.2 | 74.2 | 74.4 |
| 2 Important but not critical threat | 635 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 97.4 |
| 3 Not an important threat | 71 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q5\_NEW\_5\_29 [North Korea’s nuclear program] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 7 | .3 | .3 | .3 |
| 1 Critical threat | 2071 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 75.3 |
| 2 Important but not critical threat | 622 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 97.8 |
| 3 Not an important threat | 60 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q5\_NEW\_5\_3 [The development of China as a world power] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 10 | .4 | .4 | .4 |
| 1 Critical threat | 991 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 36.3 |
| 2 Important but not critical threat | 1482 | 53.7 | 53.7 | 90.0 |
| 3 Not an important threat | 277 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q5\_NEW\_5\_19 [Political instability in the Middle East] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 9 | .3 | .3 | .3 |
| 1 Critical threat | 1239 | 44.9 | 44.9 | 45.2 |
| 2 Important but not critical threat | 1337 | 48.4 | 48.4 | 93.7 |
| 3 Not an important threat | 175 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q5\_NEW\_5\_33 [The military power of Russia] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 11 | .4 | .4 | .4 |
| 1 Critical threat | 1078 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 39.5 |
| 2 Important but not critical threat | 1457 | 52.8 | 52.8 | 92.2 |
| 3 Not an important threat | 214 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q5\_NEW\_5\_34 [Russian influence in American elections] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 7 | .3 | .3 | .3 |
| 1 Critical threat | 1167 | 42.3 | 42.3 | 42.5 |
| 2 Important but not critical threat | 988 | 35.8 | 35.8 | 78.3 |
| 3 Not an important threat | 598 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q5\_NEW\_5\_35B [The possibility of any new countries, friendly or unfriendly, acquiring nuclear weapons] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 9 | .3 | .3 | .3 |
| 1 Critical threat | 1684 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 61.3 |
| 2 Important but not critical threat | 968 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 96.4 |
| 3 Not an important threat | 99 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q5\_NEW\_5\_16 [Cyber-attacks on U.S. computer networks] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 8 | .3 | .3 | .3 |
| 1 Critical threat | 2066 | 74.9 | 74.9 | 75.1 |
| 2 Important but not critical threat | 645 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 98.5 |
| 3 Not an important threat | 41 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q516B When it comes to cyber-attacks, which of the following are you most concerned about?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 16 | .6 | .6 | .6 |
| 1 Theft of Americans’ personal information and finances | 909 | 32.9 | 32.9 | 33.5 |
| 2 Damage to US infrastructure | 1238 | 44.9 | 44.9 | 78.4 |
| 3 Theft of classified government information | 597 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q7\_4A [Maintaining superior military power worldwide] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 12 | .4 | .4 | .4 |
| 1 Very important | 1522 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.6 |
| 2 Somewhat important | 1006 | 36.4 | 36.4 | 92.0 |
| 3 Not important at all | 220 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q7\_4B [Maintaining superior economic power worldwide] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 11 | .4 | .4 | .4 |
| 1 Very important | 1381 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.4 |
| 2 Somewhat important | 1194 | 43.3 | 43.3 | 93.7 |
| 3 Not important at all | 174 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q7\_5 [Protecting the jobs of American workers] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 8 | .3 | .3 | .3 |
| 1 Very important | 1981 | 71.8 | 71.8 | 72.1 |
| 2 Somewhat important | 683 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 96.8 |
| 3 Not important at all | 88 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q7\_10 [Preventing the spread of nuclear weapons] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 4 | .1 | .1 | .1 |
| 1 Very important | 2055 | 74.5 | 74.5 | 74.6 |
| 2 Somewhat important | 645 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 98.0 |
| 3 Not important at all | 56 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q7\_11 [Promoting and defending human rights in other countries] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 7 | .3 | .3 | .3 |
| 1 Very important | 948 | 34.3 | 34.3 | 34.6 |
| 2 Somewhat important | 1465 | 53.1 | 53.1 | 87.7 |
| 3 Not important at all | 340 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q7\_12 [Combating world hunger] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 5 | .2 | .4 | .4 |
| 1 Very important | 532 | 19.3 | 38.9 | 39.3 |
| 2 Somewhat important | 683 | 24.7 | 50.0 | 89.3 |
| 3 Not important at all | 146 | 5.3 | 10.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1366 | 49.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 1394 | 50.5 |  |  |
| Total | | 2760 | 100.0 |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q7\_12B [Providing famine relief ] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 4 | .1 | .3 | .3 |
| 1 Very important | 478 | 17.3 | 34.3 | 34.6 |
| 2 Somewhat important | 732 | 26.5 | 52.5 | 87.1 |
| 3 Not important at all | 180 | 6.5 | 12.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1394 | 50.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 1366 | 49.5 |  |  |
| Total | | 2760 | 100.0 |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q7\_19 [Defending our allies’ security] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 12 | .4 | .4 | .4 |
| 1 Very important | 1071 | 38.8 | 38.8 | 39.2 |
| 2 Somewhat important | 1523 | 55.2 | 55.2 | 94.4 |
| 3 Not important at all | 154 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q7\_23 [Improving America's standing in the world] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 8 | .3 | .3 | .3 |
| 1 Very important | 1483 | 53.7 | 53.7 | 54.0 |
| 2 Somewhat important | 1083 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 93.3 |
| 3 Not important at all | 186 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q7\_7 [Securing adequate supplies of energy] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 9 | .3 | .3 | .3 |
| 1 Very important | 1609 | 58.3 | 58.3 | 58.6 |
| 2 Somewhat important | 1037 | 37.6 | 37.6 | 96.2 |
| 3 Not important at all | 105 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q7\_16 [Reducing our trade deficit with foreign countries] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 13 | .5 | .5 | .5 |
| 1 Very important | 1139 | 41.3 | 41.3 | 41.7 |
| 2 Somewhat important | 1410 | 51.1 | 51.1 | 92.8 |
| 3 Not important at all | 198 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q67A How much of a role do you think American values like democracy should play in shaping US foreign policy - a major role, a minor role or no role at all?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 23 | .8 | .8 | .8 |
| 1 A major role | 1603 | 58.1 | 58.1 | 58.9 |
| 2 A minor role | 975 | 35.3 | 35.3 | 94.2 |
| 3 No role at all | 159 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q67B How much of a role do you think American values like human rights should play in shaping US foreign policy - a major role, a minor role or no role at all?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 45 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 1 A major role | 1768 | 64.1 | 64.1 | 65.7 |
| 2 A minor role | 840 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 96.1 |
| 3 No role at all | 107 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q8\_2 [Maintaining U.S. military superiority] How effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 21 | .8 | .8 | .8 |
| 1 Very effective | 1307 | 47.4 | 47.4 | 48.1 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 1031 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 85.5 |
| 3 Not very effective | 321 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 97.1 |
| 4 Not effective at all | 80 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q8\_3 [Placing sanctions on other countries] How effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 31 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 1 Very effective | 525 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 20.1 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 1300 | 47.1 | 47.1 | 67.2 |
| 3 Not very effective | 750 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 94.4 |
| 4 Not effective at all | 154 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q8\_4 [Signing free trade agreements with other countries] How effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 31 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 1 Very effective | 572 | 20.7 | 20.7 | 21.8 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 1453 | 52.6 | 52.6 | 74.5 |
| 3 Not very effective | 584 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 95.7 |
| 4 Not effective at all | 120 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q8\_5 [Maintaining existing alliances] How effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 22 | .8 | .8 | .8 |
| 1 Very effective | 1386 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.0 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 1138 | 41.2 | 41.2 | 92.2 |
| 3 Not very effective | 178 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 98.7 |
| 4 Not effective at all | 36 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q8\_6 [Building new alliances with other countries] How effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 19 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| 1 Very effective | 1013 | 36.7 | 36.7 | 37.4 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 1397 | 50.6 | 50.6 | 88.0 |
| 3 Not very effective | 290 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 98.5 |
| 4 Not effective at all | 41 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q8\_9 [International agreements] How effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 26 | .9 | .9 | .9 |
| 1 Very effective | 867 | 31.4 | 31.4 | 32.4 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 1332 | 48.3 | 48.3 | 80.6 |
| 3 Not very effective | 463 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 97.4 |
| 4 Not effective at all | 72 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q8\_7 [Economic aid to other countries] How effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 23 | .8 | .8 | .8 |
| 1 Very effective | 475 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 18.0 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 1312 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 65.6 |
| 3 Not very effective | 766 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 93.3 |
| 4 Not effective at all | 184 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q8\_8 [Military aid to other countries] How effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 25 | .9 | .9 | .9 |
| 1 Very effective | 316 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 12.4 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 1549 | 56.1 | 56.1 | 68.5 |
| 3 Not very effective | 717 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 94.5 |
| 4 Not effective at all | 153 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q8\_14 [Military intervention] How effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 27 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 1 Very effective | 454 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 17.4 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 1305 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 64.7 |
| 3 Not very effective | 775 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 92.8 |
| 4 Not effective at all | 199 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q8\_15 [Participating in international organizations] How effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 24 | .9 | .9 | .9 |
| 1 Very effective | 765 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 28.6 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 1301 | 47.1 | 47.1 | 75.7 |
| 3 Not very effective | 540 | 19.6 | 19.6 | 95.3 |
| 4 Not effective at all | 130 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q130\_1 [The military] Please tell me how much confidence you have in the ability of leaders in each of these institutions to shape policies that benefit the United States:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 14 | .5 | .5 | .5 |
| 1 A great deal | 858 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.6 |
| 2 A fair amount | 1221 | 44.2 | 44.2 | 75.8 |
| 3 Not very much | 511 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 94.3 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 156 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q130\_2 [US State Department] Please tell me how much confidence you have in the ability of leaders in each of these institutions to shape policies that benefit the United States:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 20 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| 1 A great deal | 434 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 16.4 |
| 2 A fair amount | 1185 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 59.4 |
| 3 Not very much | 826 | 29.9 | 29.9 | 89.3 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 295 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q130\_3 [Intelligence agencies] Please tell me how much confidence you have in the ability of leaders in each of these institutions to shape policies that benefit the United States:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 14 | .5 | .5 | .5 |
| 1 A great deal | 586 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 21.7 |
| 2 A fair amount | 1340 | 48.6 | 48.6 | 70.3 |
| 3 Not very much | 627 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 93.0 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 193 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q130\_4 [Congress] Please tell me how much confidence you have in the ability of leaders in each of these institutions to shape policies that benefit the United States:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 17 | .6 | .6 | .6 |
| 1 A great deal | 282 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 10.8 |
| 2 A fair amount | 758 | 27.5 | 27.5 | 38.3 |
| 3 Not very much | 1031 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 75.7 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 672 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q130\_5 [Think tanks] Please tell me how much confidence you have in the ability of leaders in each of these institutions to shape policies that benefit the United States:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 40 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 1 A great deal | 171 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 7.6 |
| 2 A fair amount | 960 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 42.4 |
| 3 Not very much | 1183 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 85.3 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 406 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q130\_6 [Academia] Please tell me how much confidence you have in the ability of leaders in each of these institutions to shape policies that benefit the United States:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 43 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 1 A great deal | 306 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 12.6 |
| 2 A fair amount | 973 | 35.3 | 35.3 | 47.9 |
| 3 Not very much | 953 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 82.4 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 485 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q130\_7 [The White House] Please tell me how much confidence you have in the ability of leaders in each of these institutions to shape policies that benefit the United States:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 13 | .5 | .5 | .5 |
| 1 A great deal | 600 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 22.2 |
| 2 A fair amount | 688 | 24.9 | 24.9 | 47.1 |
| 3 Not very much | 571 | 20.7 | 20.7 | 67.8 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 888 | 32.2 | 32.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q130\_8 [Large corporations] Please tell me how much confidence you have in the ability of leaders in each of these institutions to shape policies that benefit the United States:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 19 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| 1 A great deal | 174 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 7.0 |
| 2 A fair amount | 825 | 29.9 | 29.9 | 36.9 |
| 3 Not very much | 1158 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 78.8 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 584 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q130\_9 [The media] Please tell me how much confidence you have in the ability of leaders in each of these institutions to shape policies that benefit the United States:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 20 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| 1 A great deal | 230 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 9.1 |
| 2 A fair amount | 753 | 27.3 | 27.3 | 36.3 |
| 3 Not very much | 822 | 29.8 | 29.8 | 66.1 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 935 | 33.9 | 33.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q110\_1 [Delivering a major speech or address] How effective are the following ways for a president to inform the US and international publics about an administration's foreign policy?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 19 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| 1 Very effective | 951 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 35.1 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 1195 | 43.3 | 43.3 | 78.4 |
| 3 Somewhat ineffective | 416 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 93.5 |
| 4 Very ineffective | 179 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q110\_2 [Meeting with world leaders on overseas trips] How effective are the following ways for a president to inform the US and international publics about an administration's foreign policy?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 16 | .6 | .6 | .6 |
| 1 Very effective | 976 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.9 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 1362 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 85.3 |
| 3 Somewhat ineffective | 278 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 95.4 |
| 4 Very ineffective | 128 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q110\_3 [Appointing special envoys or ambassadors] How effective are the following ways for a president to inform the US and international publics about an administration's foreign policy?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 20 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| 1 Very effective | 526 | 19.1 | 19.1 | 19.8 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 1463 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 72.8 |
| 3 Somewhat ineffective | 585 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 94.0 |
| 4 Very ineffective | 166 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q110\_4 [Using Twitter or social media] How effective are the following ways for a president to inform the US and international publics about an administration's foreign policy?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 13 | .5 | .5 | .5 |
| 1 Very effective | 236 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 9.0 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 599 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 30.7 |
| 3 Somewhat ineffective | 685 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 55.5 |
| 4 Very ineffective | 1227 | 44.5 | 44.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q110\_5 [Attending important world summits like the G20 or the UN General Assembly] How effective are the following ways for a president to inform the US and international publics about an administration's foreign policy?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 17 | .6 | .6 | .6 |
| 1 Very effective | 1032 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 38.0 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 1213 | 43.9 | 43.9 | 82.0 |
| 3 Somewhat ineffective | 375 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 95.5 |
| 4 Very ineffective | 123 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q110\_6 [Press conferences or interviews with reporters] How effective are the following ways for a president to inform the US and international publics about an administration's foreign policy?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 17 | .6 | .6 | .6 |
| 1 Very effective | 717 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.6 |
| 2 Somewhat effective | 1114 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 67.0 |
| 3 Somewhat ineffective | 606 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 88.9 |
| 4 Very ineffective | 306 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q11\_NEW What kind of leadership role should the United States play in the world?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 14 | .5 | .5 | .5 |
| 1 Dominant world leader | 849 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 31.3 |
| 2 Play a shared leadership role | 1736 | 62.9 | 62.9 | 94.2 |
| 3 Not play any leadership role | 161 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q21 Some people say the United States has a unique character that makes it the greatest country in the world. Others say that every country is unique, and the United States is no greater than other nations. Which view is closer to your own?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 27 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 1 The greatest country in the world | 1751 | 63.4 | 63.4 | 64.4 |
| 2 No greater than other nations | 982 | 35.6 | 35.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q15 Thinking about the next generation of Americans who are children today, the way things are going, do you think economically they will be better off, worse off, or about the same as the generation of adults who are working today?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 15 | .5 | .5 | .5 |
| 1 Better off | 304 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.6 |
| 2 Worse off | 1660 | 60.1 | 60.1 | 71.7 |
| 3 About the same | 781 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q25\_1 [Education] Below is a list of present federal government programs. For each, please select whether you feel it should be expanded, cut back or kept about the same.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 6 | .2 | .2 | .2 |
| 1 Expanded | 1888 | 68.4 | 68.4 | 68.6 |
| 2 Cut back | 230 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 77.0 |
| 3 Kept about the same | 541 | 19.6 | 19.6 | 96.6 |
| 4 Not sure | 95 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q25\_2 [Defense spending] Below is a list of present federal government programs. For each, please select whether you feel it should be expanded, cut back or kept about the same.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 5 | .2 | .2 | .2 |
| 1 Expanded | 957 | 34.7 | 34.7 | 34.9 |
| 2 Cut back | 687 | 24.9 | 24.9 | 59.7 |
| 3 Kept about the same | 960 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 94.5 |
| 4 Not sure | 151 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q25\_3 [Social Security] Below is a list of present federal government programs. For each, please select whether you feel it should be expanded, cut back or kept about the same.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 7 | .3 | .3 | .3 |
| 1 Expanded | 1471 | 53.3 | 53.3 | 53.6 |
| 2 Cut back | 152 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 59.1 |
| 3 Kept about the same | 1018 | 36.9 | 36.9 | 95.9 |
| 4 Not sure | 112 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q25\_4 [Military aid to other nations] Below is a list of present federal government programs. For each, please select whether you feel it should be expanded, cut back or kept about the same.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 3 | .1 | .1 | .1 |
| 1 Expanded | 188 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.9 |
| 2 Cut back | 1401 | 50.8 | 50.8 | 57.7 |
| 3 Kept about the same | 943 | 34.2 | 34.2 | 91.8 |
| 4 Not sure | 225 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q25\_5 [Economic aid to other nations] Below is a list of present federal government programs. For each, please select whether you feel it should be expanded, cut back or kept about the same.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 8 | .3 | .3 | .3 |
| 1 Expanded | 240 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 9.0 |
| 2 Cut back | 1406 | 50.9 | 50.9 | 59.9 |
| 3 Kept about the same | 893 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 92.3 |
| 4 Not sure | 213 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q25\_6 [Healthcare] Below is a list of present federal government programs. For each, please select whether you feel it should be expanded, cut back or kept about the same.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 6 | .2 | .2 | .2 |
| 1 Expanded | 1729 | 62.6 | 62.6 | 62.9 |
| 2 Cut back | 407 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 77.6 |
| 3 Kept about the same | 475 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 94.8 |
| 4 Not sure | 143 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q12\_1 [The United States] How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 16 | .6 | .6 | .6 |
| 1 A great deal | 1115 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 41.0 |
| 2 A fair amount | 1113 | 40.3 | 40.3 | 81.3 |
| 3 Not very much | 377 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 95.0 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 139 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q12\_2 [China] How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 25 | .9 | .9 | .9 |
| 1 A great deal | 188 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 7.7 |
| 2 A fair amount | 830 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 37.8 |
| 3 Not very much | 1204 | 43.6 | 43.6 | 81.4 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 513 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q12\_3 [Russia] How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 24 | .9 | .9 | .9 |
| 1 A great deal | 156 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.5 |
| 2 A fair amount | 568 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 27.1 |
| 3 Not very much | 1081 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 66.3 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 931 | 33.7 | 33.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q12\_4 [Japan] How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 33 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1 A great deal | 378 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 14.9 |
| 2 A fair amount | 1417 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 66.2 |
| 3 Not very much | 708 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 91.9 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 224 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q12\_5 [South Korea] How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 27 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 1 A great deal | 159 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.7 |
| 2 A fair amount | 1017 | 36.8 | 36.8 | 43.6 |
| 3 Not very much | 1032 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 81.0 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 525 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q12\_6 [The European Union] How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 25 | .9 | .9 | .9 |
| 1 A great deal | 464 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 17.7 |
| 2 A fair amount | 1341 | 48.6 | 48.6 | 66.3 |
| 3 Not very much | 681 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 91.0 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 249 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q12\_7 [India] How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 42 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 1 A great deal | 87 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 4.7 |
| 2 A fair amount | 844 | 30.6 | 30.6 | 35.3 |
| 3 Not very much | 1371 | 49.7 | 49.7 | 84.9 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 416 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q12\_8 [Germany] How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 28 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 1 A great deal | 524 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 20.0 |
| 2 A fair amount | 1345 | 48.7 | 48.7 | 68.7 |
| 3 Not very much | 649 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 92.2 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 214 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q12\_9 [The UK] How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 25 | .9 | .9 | .9 |
| 1 A great deal | 665 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 25.0 |
| 2 A fair amount | 1461 | 52.9 | 52.9 | 77.9 |
| 3 Not very much | 456 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 94.5 |
| 4 No confidence at all | 153 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q490\_1 [Europe] Do you think that the U.S. military presence in the following regions should be increased, maintained at its present level, or do you think it should be decreased?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 36 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 1 Increased | 171 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 7.5 |
| 2 Maintained at its present level | 1812 | 65.7 | 65.7 | 73.2 |
| 3 Decreased | 741 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q490\_2 [Asia-Pacific] Do you think that the U.S. military presence in the following regions should be increased, maintained at its present level, or do you think it should be decreased?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 35 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 1 Increased | 371 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 14.7 |
| 2 Maintained at its present level | 1781 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 79.2 |
| 3 Decreased | 573 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q490\_3 [The Middle East] Do you think that the U.S. military presence in the following regions should be increased, maintained at its present level, or do you think it should be decreased?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 34 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1 Increased | 544 | 19.7 | 19.7 | 20.9 |
| 2 Maintained at its present level | 1342 | 48.6 | 48.6 | 69.6 |
| 3 Decreased | 840 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q30\_1 [If North Korea invaded South Korea] Would you favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 58 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 1 Favor | 1792 | 64.9 | 64.9 | 67.0 |
| 2 Oppose | 910 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q30\_4B [To stop or prevent a government from using chemical or biological weapons against its own people] Would you favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 57 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 1 Favor | 2053 | 74.4 | 74.4 | 76.4 |
| 2 Oppose | 650 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q30\_7 [To deal with humanitarian crises] Would you favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 55 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 1 Favor | 1853 | 67.1 | 67.1 | 69.1 |
| 2 Oppose | 852 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q30\_12 [If Russia invades the rest of Ukraine] Would you favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 77 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| 1 Favor | 1078 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 41.8 |
| 2 Oppose | 1605 | 58.2 | 58.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q30\_13 [If Russia invades a NATO ally like Latvia, Lithuania, or Estonia] Would you favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 75 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| 1 Favor | 1491 | 54.0 | 54.0 | 56.7 |
| 2 Oppose | 1194 | 43.3 | 43.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q30\_14 [If China initiates a military conflict with Japan over disputed islands] Would you favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 70 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| 1 Favor | 1124 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 43.3 |
| 2 Oppose | 1566 | 56.7 | 56.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q30\_15 [To fight against violent Islamic extremist groups in Iraq and Syria] Would you favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 67 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| 1 Favor | 1724 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 64.9 |
| 2 Oppose | 969 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q33ABC Which of the following comes closest to your view on US security alliances in [East Asia/Europe/the Middle east]?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 105 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| 1 Mostly benefit the US | 203 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 11.2 |
| 2 Mostly benefit our allies | 689 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 36.1 |
| 3 Benefit both the US and our allies | 1358 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 85.3 |
| 4 Benefit neither | 405 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q615 Some people say that NATO is still essential to our country’s security. Others say it is no longer essential. Which of these views is closer to your own?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 89 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| 1 Still essential | 1921 | 69.6 | 69.6 | 72.8 |
| 2 No longer essential | 750 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q616 As you may know, the United States has long urged its NATO allies to spend more on defense. They have recently agreed to increase defense spending but have not yet done so. Which of the following options comes closer to your view:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 89 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| 1 The United States should encourage greater allied defense spending through persuasion and diplomatic means [...] | 1633 | 59.2 | 59.2 | 62.4 |
| 2 The United States should withhold its commitment to defend NATO members [...] | 1038 | 37.6 | 37.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q50\_1 [The U.S.] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 171 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
| 0 | 27 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 7.2 |
| 1 | 83 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 10.2 |
| 2 | 25 | .9 | .9 | 11.1 |
| 3 | 19 | .7 | .7 | 11.8 |
| 4 | 21 | .8 | .8 | 12.5 |
| 5 | 108 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 16.4 |
| 6 | 77 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 19.2 |
| 7 | 183 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 25.9 |
| 8 | 468 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 42.8 |
| 9 | 522 | 18.9 | 18.9 | 61.7 |
| 10 | 1056 | 38.3 | 38.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q50\_2 [Russia] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 199 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.2 |
| 0 | 92 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 10.5 |
| 1 | 37 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 11.9 |
| 2 | 69 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 14.4 |
| 3 | 101 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 18.0 |
| 4 | 124 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 22.5 |
| 5 | 359 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 35.5 |
| 6 | 306 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 46.6 |
| 7 | 402 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 61.2 |
| 8 | 497 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 79.2 |
| 9 | 282 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 89.4 |
| 10 | 292 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q50\_5 [China] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 194 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 0 | 66 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 9.4 |
| 1 | 48 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 11.2 |
| 2 | 73 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 13.8 |
| 3 | 77 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 16.6 |
| 4 | 98 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 20.1 |
| 5 | 308 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 31.3 |
| 6 | 233 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 39.7 |
| 7 | 394 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 54.0 |
| 8 | 555 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 74.1 |
| 9 | 389 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 88.2 |
| 10 | 325 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q50\_6 [India] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 203 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.4 |
| 0 | 211 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 15.0 |
| 1 | 114 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 19.1 |
| 2 | 230 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 27.5 |
| 3 | 340 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 39.8 |
| 4 | 382 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 53.6 |
| 5 | 599 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 75.3 |
| 6 | 281 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 85.5 |
| 7 | 209 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 93.1 |
| 8 | 139 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 98.1 |
| 9 | 20 | .7 | .7 | 98.8 |
| 10 | 32 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q50\_7 [The European Union as a whole] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 195 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| 0 | 81 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 10.0 |
| 1 | 52 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 11.9 |
| 2 | 78 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 14.7 |
| 3 | 107 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 18.6 |
| 4 | 139 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 23.6 |
| 5 | 416 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 38.7 |
| 6 | 307 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 49.8 |
| 7 | 441 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 65.8 |
| 8 | 508 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 84.2 |
| 9 | 256 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 93.5 |
| 10 | 180 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q50\_8 [South Korea] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 199 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.2 |
| 0 | 183 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 13.8 |
| 1 | 123 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 18.3 |
| 2 | 241 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 27.0 |
| 3 | 341 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 39.4 |
| 4 | 366 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 52.6 |
| 5 | 550 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 72.6 |
| 6 | 291 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 83.1 |
| 7 | 216 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 90.9 |
| 8 | 138 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 95.9 |
| 9 | 54 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 97.9 |
| 10 | 58 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q50\_9 [Germany] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 197 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| 0 | 100 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 10.8 |
| 1 | 45 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 12.4 |
| 2 | 89 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 15.6 |
| 3 | 139 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 20.7 |
| 4 | 216 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 28.5 |
| 5 | 524 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 47.5 |
| 6 | 359 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 60.5 |
| 7 | 407 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 75.2 |
| 8 | 397 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 89.6 |
| 9 | 176 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 96.0 |
| 10 | 111 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q50\_10 [The UK] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world.** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 199 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.2 |
| 0 | 69 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 9.7 |
| 1 | 38 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 11.1 |
| 2 | 71 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 13.7 |
| 3 | 106 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 17.5 |
| 4 | 153 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 23.0 |
| 5 | 445 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 39.2 |
| 6 | 385 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 53.1 |
| 7 | 455 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 69.6 |
| 8 | 449 | 16.3 | 16.3 | 85.9 |
| 9 | 226 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 94.1 |
| 10 | 164 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q44\_1 [Russian President Vladimir Putin] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable view of the following world leaders?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 73 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| 1 Very favorable | 54 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 4.6 |
| 2 Somewhat favorable | 400 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 19.1 |
| 3 Somewhat unfavorable | 903 | 32.7 | 32.7 | 51.8 |
| 4 Very unfavorable | 1330 | 48.2 | 48.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q44\_2 [Chinese President Xi Jinping] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable view of the following world leaders?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 122 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| 1 Very favorable | 52 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 6.3 |
| 2 Somewhat favorable | 915 | 33.2 | 33.2 | 39.5 |
| 3 Somewhat unfavorable | 1252 | 45.4 | 45.4 | 84.8 |
| 4 Very unfavorable | 419 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q44\_3 [South Korean President Moon Jae-in/French President Emmanuel Macron] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable view of the following world leaders?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 120 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| 1 Very favorable | 243 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 13.2 |
| 2 Somewhat favorable | 1381 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 63.2 |
| 3 Somewhat unfavorable | 758 | 27.5 | 27.5 | 90.7 |
| 4 Very unfavorable | 258 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q44\_4 [German Chancellor Angela Merkel] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable view of the following world leaders?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 112 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| 1 Very favorable | 514 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 22.7 |
| 2 Somewhat favorable | 1222 | 44.3 | 44.3 | 67.0 |
| 3 Somewhat unfavorable | 655 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 90.7 |
| 4 Very unfavorable | 257 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q44\_5 [US President Donald Trump] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable view of the following world leaders?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 50 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 1 Very favorable | 604 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 23.7 |
| 2 Somewhat favorable | 527 | 19.1 | 19.1 | 42.8 |
| 3 Somewhat unfavorable | 401 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 57.3 |
| 4 Very unfavorable | 1178 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q44\_6 [North Korean leader Kim Jong Un] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable view of the following world leaders?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 72 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| 1 Very favorable | 25 | .9 | .9 | 3.5 |
| 2 Somewhat favorable | 91 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 6.8 |
| 3 Somewhat unfavorable | 315 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 18.2 |
| 4 Very unfavorable | 2257 | 81.8 | 81.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q215 Do you think the United States plays a more important and powerful role as a world leader today compared to 10 years ago, a less important role, or about as important a role as a world leader as it did 10 years ago?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 29 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 1 More important | 577 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 22.0 |
| 2 Less important | 1307 | 47.4 | 47.4 | 69.3 |
| 3 As important | 847 | 30.7 | 30.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q140\_1 [The Paris Agreement that calls for countries to collectively reduce their emissions of greenhouse gases] Based on what you know, do you think the U.S. should or should not participate [...]?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 68 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| 1 Should participate | 1753 | 63.5 | 63.5 | 66.0 |
| 2 Should not participate | 939 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q140\_7 [The agreement that lifts some international economic sanctions against Iran in exchange for strict limits on its nuclear program for at least the next decade] Based on what you know, do you think the U.S. should or should not participate [...]?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 91 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 1 Should participate | 1702 | 61.7 | 61.7 | 65.0 |
| 2 Should not participate | 967 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q205\_1 [Food and medical assistance to people in needy countries] Do you favor or oppose the following types of foreign aid:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 43 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 1 Favor | 2222 | 80.5 | 80.5 | 82.1 |
| 2 Oppose | 495 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q205\_2 [Aid that helps needy countries develop their economies] Do you favor or oppose the following types of foreign aid:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 48 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 1 Favor | 1806 | 65.4 | 65.4 | 67.2 |
| 2 Oppose | 906 | 32.8 | 32.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q205\_3 [Aid to help farmers in needy countries become more productive] Do you favor or oppose the following types of foreign aid:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 38 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 1 Favor | 2128 | 77.1 | 77.1 | 78.5 |
| 2 Oppose | 594 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q205\_5 [Assistance to promote democracy abroad] Do you favor or oppose the following types of foreign aid:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 54 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 1 Favor | 1513 | 54.8 | 54.8 | 56.8 |
| 2 Oppose | 1193 | 43.2 | 43.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q205\_7B [Aid for women's education in needy countries] Do you favor or oppose the following types of foreign aid:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 48 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 1 Favor | 1930 | 69.9 | 69.9 | 71.7 |
| 2 Oppose | 782 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q205\_8 [Disaster relief for needy countries] Do you favor or oppose the following types of foreign aid:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 31 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 1 Favor | 2295 | 83.2 | 83.2 | 84.3 |
| 2 Oppose | 434 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q212\_1 [Our national security] Do you think that giving foreign aid to other countries helps, hurts, or makes no difference for:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 32 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1 Helps | 1140 | 41.3 | 41.3 | 42.5 |
| 2 Hurts | 411 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 57.4 |
| 3 Makes no difference | 1177 | 42.6 | 42.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q212\_2 [The US economy] Do you think that giving foreign aid to other countries helps, hurts, or makes no difference for:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 33 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1 Helps | 779 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 29.4 |
| 2 Hurts | 1121 | 40.6 | 40.6 | 70.0 |
| 3 Makes no difference | 827 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q212\_3 [US relations with other countries] Do you think that giving foreign aid to other countries helps, hurts, or makes no difference for:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 28 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 1 Helps | 1827 | 66.2 | 66.2 | 67.2 |
| 2 Hurts | 187 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 74.0 |
| 3 Makes no difference | 718 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q10 Turning to something else, do you believe that globalization, especially the increasing connections of our economy with others around the world, is mostly good or mostly bad for the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 113 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| 1 Mostly good | 1788 | 64.8 | 64.8 | 68.9 |
| 2 Mostly bad | 859 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q190\_1 [The U.S. economy] Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 56 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 1 Good | 2011 | 72.9 | 72.9 | 74.9 |
| 2 Bad | 693 | 25.1 | 25.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q190\_3 [Consumers like you] Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 57 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 1 Good | 2184 | 79.1 | 79.1 | 81.2 |
| 2 Bad | 519 | 18.8 | 18.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q190\_4 [Creating jobs in the U.S] Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 53 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 1 Good | 1544 | 55.9 | 55.9 | 57.9 |
| 2 Bad | 1163 | 42.1 | 42.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q189 Which of the following comes closest to your view on trade deals between the US and other countries. Do they:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 69 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| 1 Mostly benefit the US | 176 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 8.9 |
| 2 Mostly benefit other countries | 976 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 44.2 |
| 3 Benefit both the US and other countries | 1405 | 50.9 | 50.9 | 95.1 |
| 4 Benefit neither | 134 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q190N Overall, do you think the North American Free Trade Agreement, also known as NAFTA, is good or bad for the US economy?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 152 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| 1 Good | 1446 | 52.4 | 52.4 | 57.9 |
| 2 Bad | 1162 | 42.1 | 42.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q80\_1 [The countries of the European Union] In general, do you think that the following countries practice fair or unfair trade with the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 182 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| 1 Practice fair trade | 1868 | 67.7 | 67.7 | 74.3 |
| 2 Practice unfair trade | 710 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q80\_2 [China] In general, do you think that the following countries practice fair or unfair trade with the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 166 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 1 Practice fair trade | 647 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 29.5 |
| 2 Practice unfair trade | 1947 | 70.5 | 70.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q80\_3 [Mexico] In general, do you think that the following countries practice fair or unfair trade with the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 175 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| 1 Practice fair trade | 1311 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 53.8 |
| 2 Practice unfair trade | 1274 | 46.2 | 46.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q80\_4 [Canada] In general, do you think that the following countries practice fair or unfair trade with the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 164 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| 1 Practice fair trade | 2220 | 80.4 | 80.4 | 86.4 |
| 2 Practice unfair trade | 376 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q80\_5 [Japan] In general, do you think that the following countries practice fair or unfair trade with the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 192 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 1 Practice fair trade | 1664 | 60.3 | 60.3 | 67.2 |
| 2 Practice unfair trade | 904 | 32.8 | 32.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q80\_7 [South Korea] In general, do you think that the following countries practice fair or unfair trade with the United States?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 205 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.4 |
| 1 Practice fair trade | 1494 | 54.1 | 54.1 | 61.6 |
| 2 Practice unfair trade | 1061 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q70 Which of the following three positions comes closest to your point of view about lowering trade barriers such as tariffs?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 166 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 1 I favor agreements to lower trade barriers provided the government has programs to help workers who lose their jobs | 1413 | 51.2 | 51.2 | 57.2 |
| 2 I favor agreements to lower trade barriers, but I oppose government programs to help workers who lose their jobs | 328 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 69.1 |
| 3 I oppose agreements to lower trade barriers | 853 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q206 Overall, which do you think is more responsible for the decline in American manufacturing employment?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 57 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 1 International trade, moving jobs overseas | 1564 | 56.7 | 56.7 | 58.7 |
| 2 Automation, replacing workers with machines | 1139 | 41.3 | 41.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q207 Do you think the current administration’s approach to international affairs will do:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 83 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| 1 More to protect American workers | 906 | 32.8 | 32.8 | 35.8 |
| 2 More to harm American workers | 1119 | 40.5 | 40.5 | 76.4 |
| 3 Make no difference to American workers | 652 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q275B Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, has US foreign policy made the US:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 40 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 1 More safe | 1162 | 42.1 | 42.1 | 43.6 |
| 2 Less safe | 617 | 22.4 | 22.4 | 65.9 |
| 3 Made no difference | 941 | 34.1 | 34.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q277 Do you think the current administration’s approach to international affairs will make the United States:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 51 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 1 Safer from terrorism | 929 | 33.7 | 33.7 | 35.5 |
| 2 Less safe from terrorism | 1002 | 36.3 | 36.3 | 71.8 |
| 3 Make no difference | 778 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q267\_1 [Accepting Syrian refugees into the United States] Do you support or oppose [...] the following actions with respect to Syria?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 93 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| 1 Support | 1193 | 43.2 | 43.2 | 46.6 |
| 2 Oppose | 1474 | 53.4 | 53.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q267\_7 [Helping to negotiate a peace agreement that allows [...] to remain in power/Helping to negotiate a peace agreement that would put a new President in place [...]] Do you support or oppose [...] the following actions with respect to Syria?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 153 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| 1 Support | 1311 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 53.0 |
| 2 Oppose | 1296 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q267\_8 [Conducting airstrikes against violent Islamic extremist groups/Conducting airstrikes against President Bashar al-Assad’s regime] Do you support or oppose [...] the following actions with respect to Syria?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 125 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| 1 Support | 1630 | 59.1 | 59.1 | 63.6 |
| 2 Oppose | 1005 | 36.4 | 36.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q267\_5 [Sending combat troops into Syria to fight violent Islamic extremist groups/Sending combat troops into Syria to forcibly remove Syrian President Bashar al-Assad [...]] Do you support or oppose [...] the following actions with respect to Syria?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 146 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| 1 Support | 917 | 33.2 | 33.2 | 38.5 |
| 2 Oppose | 1697 | 61.5 | 61.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q350B In dealing with Russia, do you think the U.S. should:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 103 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| 1 Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with Russia | 1227 | 44.5 | 44.5 | 48.2 |
| 2 Actively work to limit Russia’s power | 1430 | 51.8 | 51.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q351B And do you think that generally Russia is:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 123 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| 1 Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States | 564 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 24.9 |
| 2 Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence | 2073 | 75.1 | 75.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q675 Do you think economic sanctions against Russia should be:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 112 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| 1 Increased | 1050 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 42.1 |
| 2 Decreased | 166 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 48.1 |
| 3 Kept about the same | 1170 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 90.5 |
| 4 Stopped altogether | 262 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q374\_5 [Accept that North Korea will produce additional nuclear weapons] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 117 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| 1 Support | 304 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 15.3 |
| 2 Oppose | 2339 | 84.7 | 84.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q374\_12 [Accept that North Korea will possess nuclear weapons in exchange for an agreement [...]] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 129 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| 1 Support | 577 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 25.6 |
| 2 Oppose | 2054 | 74.4 | 74.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q374\_6 [Impose tighter economic sanctions on North Korea] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 106 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| 1 Support | 2197 | 79.6 | 79.6 | 83.4 |
| 2 Oppose | 457 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q374\_8 [Conduct airstrikes against North Korea’s nuclear production facilities] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 136 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| 1 Support | 1110 | 40.2 | 40.2 | 45.1 |
| 2 Oppose | 1514 | 54.9 | 54.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q374\_9 [Send U.S. troops to destroy North Korea’s nuclear facilities] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 127 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| 1 Support | 719 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 30.7 |
| 2 Oppose | 1914 | 69.3 | 69.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q374\_11 [Impose sanctions on Chinese companies and banks that do business with North Korea] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 122 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| 1 Support | 1922 | 69.6 | 69.6 | 74.1 |
| 2 Oppose | 716 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q405 When it comes to immigration, which comes closest to your view about illegal immigrants who are currently working in the U.S.?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 67 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| 1 They should be allowed to stay in their jobs and to apply for U.S. citizenship | 1063 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 40.9 |
| 2 They should be allowed to [...] apply for U.S. citizenship only if they pay a penalty and wait a number of years | 725 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 67.2 |
| 3 They should be allowed to stay in their jobs with work permits, but not apply for U.S. citizenship | 308 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 78.4 |
| 4 They should be required to leave their jobs and leave the U.S. | 597 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q1005 In general, do you think of yourself as:** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 57 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 1 Extremely liberal | 133 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 6.9 |
| 2 Liberal | 367 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 20.2 |
| 3 Slightly liberal | 252 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 29.3 |
| 4 Moderate, middle of the road | 971 | 35.2 | 35.2 | 64.5 |
| 5 Slightly conservative | 323 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 76.2 |
| 6 Conservative | 547 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 96.0 |
| 7 Extremely conservative | 110 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q1010 Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an independent, or what?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 50 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 1 Republican | 770 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 29.7 |
| 2 Democrat | 927 | 33.6 | 33.6 | 63.3 |
| 3 Independent | 775 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 91.4 |
| 4 Other | 238 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q1015 Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 9 | .3 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1 Strong | 401 | 14.5 | 52.1 | 53.2 |
| 2 Not strong | 360 | 13.0 | 46.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 770 | 27.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 1990 | 72.1 |  |  |
| Total | | 2760 | 100.0 |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q1020 Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 4 | .1 | .4 | .4 |
| 1 Strong | 518 | 18.8 | 55.9 | 56.3 |
| 2 Not strong | 405 | 14.7 | 43.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 927 | 33.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 1833 | 66.4 |  |  |
| Total | | 2760 | 100.0 |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q1025 Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 3 | .1 | .4 | .4 |
| 1 Republican | 226 | 8.2 | 29.2 | 29.5 |
| 2 Democratic | 236 | 8.6 | 30.5 | 60.0 |
| 3 Neither | 310 | 11.2 | 40.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 775 | 28.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 1985 | 71.9 |  |  |
| Total | | 2760 | 100.0 |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q2016VOTE Did you happen to vote in the last presidential election, when [Insert Trump or Clinton, Depending Upon Randomization], Gary Johnson, and Jill Stein, or did you skip that one?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 72 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| 1 Yes, I voted for Donald Trump | 967 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 37.6 |
| 2 Yes, I voted for Hillary Clinton | 1067 | 38.7 | 38.7 | 76.3 |
| 3 Yes, I voted for Gary Johnson | 108 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 80.2 |
| 4 Yes, I voted for Jill Stein | 34 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 81.4 |
| 5 No, I skipped voting | 512 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Q1065 Would you be willing to speak with a reporter in a follow-up telephone call or by email to discuss some of these topics?** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 16 | .6 | .6 | .6 |
| 1 Yes | 724 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 26.8 |
| 2 No | 2020 | 73.2 | 73.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DOV\_REL1 Data Only Variable: Religious Denomination of Respondent** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -1 Refused | 8 | .3 | .3 | .3 |
| 1 Catholic | 664 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 24.3 |
| 2 Evangelical or Protestant Christian (Baptist, Lutheran, Methodist, Presbyterian, Episcopalian, Pentecostal, Church of Ch | 1061 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 62.8 |
| 3 Jehovah's Witness | 20 | .7 | .7 | 63.5 |
| 4 Mormon | 40 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 65.0 |
| 5 Jewish | 52 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 66.8 |
| 6 Islam/Muslim | 7 | .3 | .3 | 67.1 |
| 7 Orthodox Church (Greek or Russian) | 11 | .4 | .4 | 67.5 |
| 8 Hindu | 12 | .4 | .4 | 67.9 |
| 9 Buddhist | 21 | .8 | .8 | 68.7 |
| 10 Unitarian (Universalist) | 19 | .7 | .7 | 69.4 |
| 11 Other Christian religion, {#PPA1648.response.other[0]} | 189 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 76.2 |
| 12 Other non-Christian religion, {#PPA1648.response.other[1]} | 20 | .7 | .7 | 77.0 |
| 13 No religion, not a believer, atheist, agnostic | 636 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPAGE Age** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 18 | 40 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 19 | 30 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.5 |
| 20 | 32 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 3.7 |
| 21 | 21 | .8 | .8 | 4.5 |
| 22 | 27 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 5.4 |
| 23 | 29 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 6.5 |
| 24 | 36 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 7.8 |
| 25 | 43 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 9.3 |
| 26 | 55 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 11.3 |
| 27 | 60 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 13.5 |
| 28 | 66 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 15.9 |
| 29 | 81 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 18.8 |
| 30 | 53 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 20.8 |
| 31 | 43 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 22.3 |
| 32 | 48 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 24.1 |
| 33 | 46 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 25.7 |
| 34 | 64 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 28.0 |
| 35 | 53 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 30.0 |
| 36 | 49 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 31.7 |
| 37 | 27 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 32.7 |
| 38 | 29 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 33.8 |
| 39 | 28 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 34.8 |
| 40 | 46 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 36.4 |
| 41 | 35 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 37.7 |
| 42 | 32 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 38.9 |
| 43 | 33 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 40.1 |
| 44 | 27 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 41.1 |
| 45 | 22 | .8 | .8 | 41.8 |
| 46 | 39 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 43.3 |
| 47 | 42 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 44.8 |
| 48 | 30 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 45.9 |
| 49 | 31 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 47.0 |
| 50 | 42 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 48.5 |
| 51 | 33 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 49.7 |
| 52 | 49 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 51.5 |
| 53 | 44 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 53.1 |
| 54 | 43 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 54.6 |
| 55 | 61 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 56.8 |
| 56 | 69 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 59.3 |
| 57 | 59 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 61.5 |
| 58 | 79 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 64.3 |
| 59 | 74 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 67.0 |
| 60 | 50 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 68.8 |
| 61 | 40 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 70.3 |
| 62 | 67 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 72.7 |
| 63 | 48 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 74.5 |
| 64 | 48 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 76.2 |
| 65 | 52 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 78.1 |
| 66 | 52 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 80.0 |
| 67 | 53 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 81.9 |
| 68 | 43 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 83.4 |
| 69 | 57 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 85.5 |
| 70 | 52 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 87.4 |
| 71 | 38 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 88.8 |
| 72 | 42 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 90.3 |
| 73 | 34 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 91.5 |
| 74 | 37 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 92.9 |
| 75 | 31 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 94.0 |
| 76 | 27 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 95.0 |
| 77 | 20 | .7 | .7 | 95.7 |
| 78 | 20 | .7 | .7 | 96.4 |
| 79 | 14 | .5 | .5 | 96.9 |
| 80 | 15 | .5 | .5 | 97.5 |
| 81 | 15 | .5 | .5 | 98.0 |
| 82 | 9 | .3 | .3 | 98.3 |
| 83 | 11 | .4 | .4 | 98.7 |
| 84 | 9 | .3 | .3 | 99.1 |
| 85 | 6 | .2 | .2 | 99.3 |
| 86 | 6 | .2 | .2 | 99.5 |
| 87 | 1 | .0 | .0 | 99.5 |
| 88 | 3 | .1 | .1 | 99.6 |
| 89 | 4 | .1 | .1 | 99.8 |
| 90 | 2 | .1 | .1 | 99.9 |
| 91 | 2 | .1 | .1 | 99.9 |
| 92 | 1 | .0 | .0 | 100.0 |
| 94 | 1 | .0 | .0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ppagecat Age - 7 Categories** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 1 18-24 | 215 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 |
| 2 25-34 | 559 | 20.3 | 20.3 | 28.0 |
| 3 35-44 | 359 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 41.1 |
| 4 45-54 | 375 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 54.6 |
| 5 55-64 | 595 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 76.2 |
| 6 65-74 | 460 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 92.9 |
| 7 75+ | 197 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ppagect4 Age - 4 Categories** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 1 18-29 | 520 | 18.8 | 18.8 | 18.8 |
| 2 30-44 | 613 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 41.1 |
| 3 45-59 | 717 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 67.0 |
| 4 60+ | 910 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPEDUC Education (Highest Degree Received)** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 1 No formal education | 1 | .0 | .0 | .0 |
| 2 1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th grade | 3 | .1 | .1 | .1 |
| 3 5th or 6th grade | 4 | .1 | .1 | .3 |
| 4 7th or 8th grade | 23 | .8 | .8 | 1.1 |
| 5 9th grade | 21 | .8 | .8 | 1.9 |
| 6 10th grade | 46 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 3.6 |
| 7 11th grade | 65 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 5.9 |
| 8 12th grade NO DIPLOMA | 48 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 7.6 |
| 9 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE - high school DIPLOMA or the equivalent (GED) | 749 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 34.8 |
| 10 Some college, no degree | 536 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 54.2 |
| 11 Associate degree | 265 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 63.8 |
| 12 Bachelors degree | 547 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 83.6 |
| 13 Masters degree | 339 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 95.9 |
| 14 Professional or Doctorate degree | 113 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPEDUCAT Education (Categorical)** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 1 Less than high school | 211 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| 2 High school | 749 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 34.8 |
| 3 Some college | 801 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 63.8 |
| 4 Bachelor's degree or higher | 999 | 36.2 | 36.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPETHM Race / Ethnicity** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 1 White, Non-Hispanic | 2012 | 72.9 | 72.9 | 72.9 |
| 2 Black, Non-Hispanic | 258 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 82.2 |
| 3 Other, Non-Hispanic | 106 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 86.1 |
| 4 Hispanic | 297 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 96.8 |
| 5 2+ Races, Non-Hispanic | 87 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPGENDER Gender** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 1 Male | 1396 | 50.6 | 50.6 | 50.6 |
| 2 Female | 1364 | 49.4 | 49.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPHHHEAD Household Head** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 0 No | 532 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 19.3 |
| 1 Yes | 2228 | 80.7 | 80.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPHHSIZE Household Size** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 1 | 521 | 18.9 | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| 2 | 1071 | 38.8 | 38.8 | 57.7 |
| 3 | 481 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 75.1 |
| 4 | 374 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 88.7 |
| 5 | 185 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 95.4 |
| 6 | 76 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 98.1 |
| 7 | 28 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 99.1 |
| 8 | 15 | .5 | .5 | 99.7 |
| 9 | 4 | .1 | .1 | 99.8 |
| 10 | 4 | .1 | .1 | 100.0 |
| 12 | 1 | .0 | .0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPHOUSE Housing Type** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 1 A one-family house detached from any other house | 1964 | 71.2 | 71.2 | 71.2 |
| 2 A one-family house attached to one or more houses | 250 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 80.2 |
| 3 A building with 2 or more apartments | 435 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 96.0 |
| 4 A mobile home | 107 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 99.9 |
| 5 Boat, RV, van, etc. | 4 | .1 | .1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPINCIMP Household Income** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 1 Less than $5,000 | 55 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 2 $5,000 to $7,499 | 24 | .9 | .9 | 2.9 |
| 3 $7,500 to $9,999 | 20 | .7 | .7 | 3.6 |
| 4 $10,000 to $12,499 | 55 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 5.6 |
| 5 $12,500 to $14,999 | 44 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 7.2 |
| 6 $15,000 to $19,999 | 74 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 9.9 |
| 7 $20,000 to $24,999 | 91 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 13.2 |
| 8 $25,000 to $29,999 | 117 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 17.4 |
| 9 $30,000 to $34,999 | 130 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 22.1 |
| 10 $35,000 to $39,999 | 125 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 26.6 |
| 11 $40,000 to $49,999 | 199 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 33.8 |
| 12 $50,000 to $59,999 | 228 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 42.1 |
| 13 $60,000 to $74,999 | 269 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 51.8 |
| 14 $75,000 to $84,999 | 196 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 58.9 |
| 15 $85,000 to $99,999 | 215 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 66.7 |
| 16 $100,000 to $124,999 | 333 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 78.8 |
| 17 $125,000 to $149,999 | 173 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 85.1 |
| 18 $150,000 to $174,999 | 161 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 90.9 |
| 19 $175,000 to $199,999 | 91 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 94.2 |
| 20 $200,000 to $249,999 | 83 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 97.2 |
| 21 $250,000 or more | 77 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPMARIT Marital Status** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 1 Married | 1604 | 58.1 | 58.1 | 58.1 |
| 2 Widowed | 126 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 62.7 |
| 3 Divorced | 269 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 72.4 |
| 4 Separated | 31 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 73.6 |
| 5 Never married | 566 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 94.1 |
| 6 Living with partner | 164 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPMSACAT MSA Status** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 0 Non-Metro | 418 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 15.1 |
| 1 Metro | 2342 | 84.9 | 84.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPREG4 Region 4 - Based on State of Residence** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 1 Northeast | 439 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 15.9 |
| 2 Midwest | 900 | 32.6 | 32.6 | 48.5 |
| 3 South | 850 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 79.3 |
| 4 West | 571 | 20.7 | 20.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ppreg9 Region 9 - Based on State of Residence** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 1 New England | 116 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| 2 Mid-Atlantic | 323 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 15.9 |
| 3 East-North Central | 590 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 37.3 |
| 4 West-North Central | 310 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 48.5 |
| 5 South Atlantic | 469 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 65.5 |
| 6 East-South Central | 114 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 69.6 |
| 7 West-South Central | 267 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 79.3 |
| 8 Mountain | 166 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 85.3 |
| 9 Pacific | 405 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPRENT Ownership Status of Living Quarters** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 1 Owned or being bought by you or someone in your household | 1983 | 71.8 | 71.8 | 71.8 |
| 2 Rented for cash | 703 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 97.3 |
| 3 Occupied without payment of cash rent | 74 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPSTATEN State** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 11 ME | 12 | .4 | .4 | .4 |
| 12 NH | 8 | .3 | .3 | .7 |
| 13 VT | 5 | .2 | .2 | .9 |
| 14 MA | 53 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.8 |
| 15 RI | 6 | .2 | .2 | 3.0 |
| 16 CT | 32 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 4.2 |
| 21 NY | 143 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 9.4 |
| 22 NJ | 66 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 11.8 |
| 23 PA | 114 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 15.9 |
| 31 OH | 160 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 21.7 |
| 32 IN | 89 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 24.9 |
| 33 IL | 149 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 30.3 |
| 34 MI | 135 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 35.2 |
| 35 WI | 57 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 37.3 |
| 41 MN | 92 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 40.6 |
| 42 IA | 48 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 42.4 |
| 43 MO | 86 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 45.5 |
| 44 ND | 13 | .5 | .5 | 45.9 |
| 45 SD | 16 | .6 | .6 | 46.5 |
| 46 NE | 27 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 47.5 |
| 47 KS | 28 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 48.5 |
| 51 DE | 17 | .6 | .6 | 49.1 |
| 52 MD | 50 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 50.9 |
| 53 DC | 3 | .1 | .1 | 51.1 |
| 54 VA | 75 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 53.8 |
| 55 WV | 8 | .3 | .3 | 54.1 |
| 56 NC | 79 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 56.9 |
| 57 SC | 34 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 58.2 |
| 58 GA | 61 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 60.4 |
| 59 FL | 142 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 65.5 |
| 61 KY | 37 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 66.8 |
| 62 TN | 39 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 68.3 |
| 63 AL | 28 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 69.3 |
| 64 MS | 10 | .4 | .4 | 69.6 |
| 71 AR | 28 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 70.7 |
| 72 LA | 23 | .8 | .8 | 71.5 |
| 73 OK | 45 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 73.1 |
| 74 TX | 171 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 79.3 |
| 81 MT | 9 | .3 | .3 | 79.6 |
| 82 ID | 12 | .4 | .4 | 80.1 |
| 83 WY | 3 | .1 | .1 | 80.2 |
| 84 CO | 30 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 81.3 |
| 85 NM | 12 | .4 | .4 | 81.7 |
| 86 AZ | 53 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 83.6 |
| 87 UT | 27 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 84.6 |
| 88 NV | 20 | .7 | .7 | 85.3 |
| 91 WA | 63 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 87.6 |
| 92 OR | 37 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 88.9 |
| 93 CA | 301 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 99.9 |
| 95 HI | 4 | .1 | .1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPT01 Presence of Household Members - Children 0-1** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 0 | 2620 | 94.9 | 94.9 | 94.9 |
| 1 | 131 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 99.7 |
| 2 | 8 | .3 | .3 | 100.0 |
| 9 | 1 | .0 | .0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPT25 Presence of Household Members - Children 2-5** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 0 | 2505 | 90.8 | 90.8 | 90.8 |
| 1 | 202 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 98.1 |
| 2 | 48 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 99.8 |
| 3 | 5 | .2 | .2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPT612 Presence of Household Members - Children 6-12** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 0 | 2395 | 86.8 | 86.8 | 86.8 |
| 1 | 233 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 95.2 |
| 2 | 99 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 98.8 |
| 3 | 28 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 99.8 |
| 4 | 4 | .1 | .1 | 100.0 |
| 5 | 1 | .0 | .0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPT1317 Presence of Household Members - Children 13-17** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 0 | 2433 | 88.2 | 88.2 | 88.2 |
| 1 | 250 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 97.2 |
| 2 | 64 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 99.5 |
| 3 | 13 | .5 | .5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPT18OV Presence of Household Members - Adults 18+** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 1 | 577 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 |
| 2 | 1515 | 54.9 | 54.9 | 75.8 |
| 3 | 424 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 91.2 |
| 4 | 168 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 97.2 |
| 5 | 52 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 99.1 |
| 6 | 19 | .7 | .7 | 99.8 |
| 7 | 4 | .1 | .1 | 100.0 |
| 12 | 1 | .0 | .0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PPWORK Current Employment Status** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 1 Working - as a paid employee | 1532 | 55.5 | 55.5 | 55.5 |
| 2 Working - self-employed | 228 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 63.8 |
| 3 Not working - on temporary layoff from a job | 7 | .3 | .3 | 64.0 |
| 4 Not working - looking for work | 127 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 68.6 |
| 5 Not working - retired | 563 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 89.0 |
| 6 Not working - disabled | 108 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 92.9 |
| 7 Not working - other | 195 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2760 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

# Appendix C: Benchmark Distributions

***U.S. Population Benchmarks (Age 18 or Older)***

***Source: ACS 2015 Data***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
| **Gender** | **Age** | **Frequency** |
| **Male** | **18-29** | 25057777 |
| **Male** | **30-44** | 30166391 |
| **Male** | **45-59** | 30945325 |
| **Male** | **60+** | 29556363 |
| **Female** | **18-29** | 24640065 |
| **Female** | **30-44** | 31171730 |
| **Female** | **45-59** | 32771517 |
| **Female** | **60+** | 35701288 |
|  |  |  |
| **Race/Ethnicity** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **White, Non-Hispanic** | 1.55E+08 | 64.68 |
| **Black, Non-Hispanic** | 27956843 | 11.65 |
| **Other, Non-Hispanic** | 15579113 | 6.49 |
| **Hispanic** | 37380527 | 15.57 |
| **2+ Race, Non-Hispanic** | 3856299 | 1.61 |
|  |  |  |
| **Census Region By Metro Status** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Northeast Metro** | 39374750 | 16.41 |
| **Northeast Non-metro** | 3378819 | 1.41 |
| **Midwest Metro** | 38647702 | 16.1 |
| **Midwest Non-metro** | 11907770 | 4.96 |
| **South Metro** | 75071373 | 31.28 |
| **South Non-metro** | 14968246 | 6.24 |
| **West Metro** | 50902599 | 21.21 |
| **West Non-metro** | 5759197 | 2.4 |
|  |  |  |
| **Education** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Less than HS** | 30423686 | 12.68 |
| **HS** | 66582220 | 27.74 |
| **Some college** | 73899933 | 30.79 |
| **Bachelor or higher** | 69104617 | 28.79 |
|  |  |  |
| **Income** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Under $25,000** | 38223227 | 15.93 |
| **$25,000-$49,999** | 50836003 | 21.18 |
| **$50,000-$74,999** | 44649147 | 18.6 |
| **$75,000-$99,999** | 33210755 | 13.84 |
| **$100,000-$149,999** | 39039680 | 16.27 |
| **$150,000 and over** | 34051644 | 14.19 |

***U.S. Midwestern States Benchmarks (Age 18 or Older)***

***Source: ACS 2015 Data***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gender** | **Age** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Male** | **18-29** | 5035151 | 10.26 |
| **Male** | **30-44** | 6032020 | 12.29 |
| **Male** | **45-59** | 6437045 | 13.12 |
| **Male** | **60+** | 6227407 | 12.69 |
| **Female** | **18-29** | 4965140 | 10.12 |
| **Female** | **30-44** | 6172666 | 12.58 |
| **Female** | **45-59** | 6754533 | 13.77 |
| **Female** | **60+** | 7439073 | 15.16 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Race/Ethnicity** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **White, Non-Hispanic** | 38354408 | 78.17 |
| **Black, Non-Hispanic** | 4764846 | 9.71 |
| **Other, Non-Hispanic** | 1943505 | 3.96 |
| **Hispanic** | 3215528 | 6.55 |
| **2+ Race, Non-Hispanic** | 784748 | 1.6 |
|  |  |  |
| **Metro Status** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Non-Metro** | 11604411 | 23.65 |
| **Metro** | 37458624 | 76.35 |
|  |  |  |
| **Education** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Less than HS** | 5120813 | 10.44 |
| **HS** | 14653040 | 29.87 |
| **Some college** | 15834126 | 32.27 |
| **Bachelor or higher** | 13455056 | 27.42 |
|  |  |  |
| **Income** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Under $25,000** | 7949091 | 16.2 |
| **$25,000-$49,999** | 10843307 | 22.1 |
| **$50,000-$74,999** | 9682454 | 19.73 |
| **$75,000-$99,999** | 7046789 | 14.36 |
| **$100,000-$149,999** | 7835128 | 15.97 |
| **$150,000 and over** | 5706266 | 11.63 |
|  |  |  |
| **State** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Ohio** | 8682399 | 17.7 |
| **Indiana** | 4856317 | 9.9 |
| **Illinois** | 9610535 | 19.59 |
| **Michigan** | 7495796 | 15.28 |
| **Minnesota** | 4079024 | 8.31 |
| **Iowa** | 2300443 | 4.69 |
| **Missouri** | 4526904 | 9.23 |
| **North Dakota** | 558977 | 1.14 |
| **South Dakota** | 615994 | 1.26 |
| **Nebraska** | 1375774 | 2.8 |
| **Kansas** | 2115192 | 4.31 |
| **Oklahoma** | 2845680 | 5.8 |

***U.S. Millennial Benchmarks (Age 18 to 36)***

***Source: ACS 2015 Data***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gender** | **Age** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Male** | **18-24** | 14256238 | 17.99 |
| **Male** | **25-29** | 10801539 | 13.63 |
| **Male** | **30-36** | 14580184 | 18.4 |
| **Female** | **18-24** | 13755455 | 17.36 |
| **Female** | **25-29** | 10884610 | 13.74 |
| **Female** | **30-36** | 14959854 | 18.88 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Race/Ethnicity** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **White, Non-Hispanic** | 44266905 | 55.87 |
| **Black, Non-Hispanic** | 10506603 | 13.26 |
| **Other, Non-Hispanic** | 5805639 | 7.33 |
| **Hispanic** | 16709050 | 21.09 |
| **2+ Race, Non-Hispanic** | 1949683 | 2.46 |
|  |  |  |
| **Census Region By Metro Status** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Northeast Metro** | 12543702 | 15.83 |
| **Northeast Non-metro** | 894623 | 1.13 |
| **Midwest Metro** | 12828142 | 16.19 |
| **Midwest Non-metro** | 3435547 | 4.34 |
| **South Metro** | 25340329 | 31.98 |
| **South Non-metro** | 4382324 | 5.53 |
| **West Metro** | 18094340 | 22.84 |
| **West Non-metro** | 1718873 | 2.17 |
|  |  |  |
| **Education** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Less than HS** | 9169035 | 11.57 |
| **HS** | 20790829 | 26.24 |
| **Some college** | 28220305 | 35.61 |
| **Bachelor or higher** | 21057711 | 26.58 |
|  |  |  |
| **Income** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Under $25,000** | 12331188 | 15.56 |
| **$25,000-$49,999** | 17310312 | 21.85 |
| **$50,000-$74,999** | 15593929 | 19.68 |
| **$75,000-$99,999** | 11573186 | 14.61 |
| **$100,000-$149,999** | 12964509 | 16.36 |
| **$150,000 and over** | 9464756 | 11.94 |

***U.S. Non-Midwestern States Benchmarks (Age 18 or Older)***

***Source: ACS 2015 Data***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gender** | **Age** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Male** | **18-29** | 20022626 | 10.49 |
| **Male** | **30-44** | 24134371 | 12.64 |
| **Male** | **45-59** | 24508280 | 12.84 |
| **Male** | **60+** | 23328956 | 12.22 |
| **Female** | **18-29** | 19674925 | 10.3 |
| **Female** | **30-44** | 24999064 | 13.09 |
| **Female** | **45-59** | 26016984 | 13.63 |
| **Female** | **60+** | 28262215 | 14.8 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Race/Ethnicity** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **White, Non-Hispanic** | 1.17E+08 | 61.21 |
| **Black, Non-Hispanic** | 23191997 | 12.15 |
| **Other, Non-Hispanic** | 13635608 | 7.14 |
| **Hispanic** | 34164999 | 17.89 |
| **2+ Race, Non-Hispanic** | 3071551 | 1.61 |
|  |  |  |
| **Census Region By Metro Status** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Northeast Metro** | 39374750 | 20.62 |
| **Northeast Non-metro** | 3378819 | 1.77 |
| **Midwest Metro** | 3094078 | 1.62 |
| **Midwest Non-metro** | 1244039 | 0.65 |
| **South Metro** | 73166373 | 38.32 |
| **South Non-metro** | 14027566 | 7.35 |
| **West Metro** | 50902599 | 26.66 |
| **West Non-metro** | 5759197 | 3.02 |
|  |  |  |
| **Education** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Less than HS** | 25302873 | 13.25 |
| **HS** | 51929180 | 27.2 |
| **Some college** | 58065807 | 30.41 |
| **Bachelor or higher** | 55649561 | 29.14 |
|  |  |  |
| **Income** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Under $25,000** | 30274136 | 15.85 |
| **$25,000-$49,999** | 39992696 | 20.94 |
| **$50,000-$74,999** | 34966693 | 18.31 |
| **$75,000-$99,999** | 26163966 | 13.7 |
| **$100,000-$149,999** | 31204552 | 16.34 |
| **$150,000 and over** | 28345378 | 14.84 |

***U.S. Non-Millennial Benchmarks (Age 37 or Older)***

***Source: ACS 2015 Data***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gender** | **Age** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Male** | **37-44** | 15586207 | 9.69 |
| **Male** | **45-59** | 30945325 | 19.25 |
| **Male** | **60+** | 29556363 | 18.38 |
| **Female** | **37-44** | 16211876 | 10.08 |
| **Female** | **45-59** | 32771517 | 20.38 |
| **Female** | **60+** | 35701288 | 22.21 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Race/Ethnicity** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **White, Non-Hispanic** | 1.11E+08 | 69.02 |
| **Black, Non-Hispanic** | 17450240 | 10.85 |
| **Other, Non-Hispanic** | 9773474 | 6.08 |
| **Hispanic** | 20671477 | 12.86 |
| **2+ Race, Non-Hispanic** | 1906616 | 1.19 |
|  |  |  |
| **Census Region By Metro Status** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Northeast Metro** | 26831048 | 16.69 |
| **Northeast Non-metro** | 2484196 | 1.55 |
| **Midwest Metro** | 25819560 | 16.06 |
| **Midwest Non-metro** | 8472223 | 5.27 |
| **South Metro** | 49731044 | 30.93 |
| **South Non-metro** | 10585922 | 6.58 |
| **West Metro** | 32808259 | 20.41 |
| **West Non-metro** | 4040324 | 2.51 |
|  |  |  |
| **Education** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Less than HS** | 21254651 | 13.22 |
| **HS** | 45791391 | 28.48 |
| **Some college** | 45679628 | 28.41 |
| **Bachelor or higher** | 48046906 | 29.89 |
|  |  |  |
| **Income** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Under $25,000** | 25892039 | 16.1 |
| **$25,000-$49,999** | 33525691 | 20.85 |
| **$50,000-$74,999** | 29055218 | 18.07 |
| **$75,000-$99,999** | 21637569 | 13.46 |
| **$100,000-$149,999** | 26075171 | 16.22 |
| **$150,000 and over** | 24586888 | 15.29 |

***U.S. Total Sample Benchmarks (Age 18 or Older)***

***Source: ACS 2015 Data***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gender** | **Age** | **Sub-Sample Group** | | | | |
| **Millennial, Midwestern** | **Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial, Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Total** |
| **Male** | **18-24** | 2928544 | 1.13E+07 | 0 | 0 | 1.43E+07 |
| 1.22 | 4.72 | 0 | 0 | 5.94 |
| 18.44 | 17.88 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **Female** | **18-24** | 2827597 | 1.09E+07 | 0 | 0 | 1.38E+07 |
| 1.18 | 4.55 | 0 | 0 | 5.73 |
| 17.81 | 17.25 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **Male** | **25-29** | 2106607 | 8694932 | 0 | 0 | 1.08E+07 |
| 0.88 | 3.62 | 0 | 0 | 4.5 |
| 13.27 | 13.72 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **Female** | **25-29** | 2137543 | 8747067 | 0 | 0 | 1.09E+07 |
| 0.89 | 3.64 | 0 | 0 | 4.54 |
| 13.46 | 13.81 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **Male** | **30-36** | 2904575 | 1.17E+07 | 0 | 0 | 1.46E+07 |
| 1.21 | 4.86 | 0 | 0 | 6.07 |
| 18.29 | 18.43 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **Female** | **30-36** | 2974433 | 1.20E+07 | 0 | 0 | 1.50E+07 |
| 1.24 | 4.99 | 0 | 0 | 6.23 |
| 18.73 | 18.92 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **Male** | **37-44** | 0 | 0 | 3127445 | 1.25E+07 | 1.56E+07 |
| 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 5.19 | 6.49 |
| 0 | 0 | 9.42 | 9.76 |  |
| **Female** | **37-44** | 0 | 0 | 3198233 | 1.30E+07 | 1.62E+07 |
| 0 | 0 | 1.33 | 5.42 | 6.75 |
| 0 | 0 | 9.64 | 10.2 |  |
| **Male** | **45-59** | 0 | 0 | 6437045 | 2.45E+07 | 3.10E+07 |
| 0 | 0 | 2.68 | 10.21 | 12.89 |
| 0 | 0 | 19.4 | 19.21 |  |
| **Female** | **45-59** | 0 | 0 | 6754533 | 2.60E+07 | 3.28E+07 |
| 0 | 0 | 2.81 | 10.84 | 13.65 |
| 0 | 0 | 20.35 | 20.39 |  |
| **Male** | **60 or Older** | 0 | 0 | 6227407 | 2.33E+07 | 2.96E+07 |
| 0 | 0 | 2.59 | 9.72 | 12.31 |
| 0 | 0 | 18.77 | 18.28 |  |
| **Female** | **60 or Older** | 0 | 0 | 7439073 | 2.83E+07 | 3.57E+07 |
| 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 11.78 | 14.87 |
| 0 | 0 | 22.42 | 22.15 |  |
| **Total** | | 1.59E+07 | 6.34E+07 | 3.32E+07 | 1.28E+08 | 2.40E+08 |
| 6.62 | 26.4 | 13.83 | 53.16 | 100 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Race/Ethnicity** | **Sub-Sample Group** | | | | |
| **Millennial, Midwestern** | **Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Total** |
|
| **White, Non-Hispanic** | 1.13E+07 | 3.30E+07 | 2.71E+07 | 8.39E+07 | 1.55E+08 |
| 4.7 | 13.74 | 11.28 | 34.96 | 64.68 |
| 71.07 | 52.05 | 81.57 | 65.76 |  |
| **Black, Non-Hispanic** | 1822697 | 8683906 | 2942149 | 1.45E+07 | 2.80E+07 |
| 0.76 | 3.62 | 1.23 | 6.04 | 11.65 |
| 11.48 | 13.71 | 8.87 | 11.37 |  |
| **Other, Non-Hispanic** | 825540 | 4980099 | 1117965 | 8655509 | 1.56E+07 |
| 0.34 | 2.07 | 0.47 | 3.61 | 6.49 |
| 5.2 | 7.86 | 3.37 | 6.78 |  |
| **Hispanic** | 1538536 | 1.52E+07 | 1676992 | 1.90E+07 | 3.74E+07 |
| 0.64 | 6.32 | 0.7 | 7.91 | 15.57 |
| 9.69 | 23.94 | 5.05 | 14.89 |  |
| **2+ Race, Non-Hispanic** | 406640 | 1543043 | 378108 | 1528508 | 3856299 |
| 0.17 | 0.64 | 0.16 | 0.64 | 1.61 |
| 2.56 | 2.44 | 1.14 | 1.2 |  |
| **Total** | 1.59E+07 | 6.34E+07 | 3.32E+07 | 1.28E+08 | 2.40E+08 |
| 6.62 | 26.4 | 13.83 | 53.16 | 100 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Census Region** | **Sub-Sample Group** | | | | |
| **Millennial, Midwestern** | **Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Total** |
|
| **Northeast** | 0 | 1.34E+07 | 0 | 2.93E+07 | 4.28E+07 |
| 0 | 5.6 | 0 | 12.21 | 17.81 |
| 0 | 21.21 | 0 | 22.98 |  |
| **Midwest** | 1.49E+07 | 1351140 | 3.13E+07 | 2986977 | 5.06E+07 |
| 6.21 | 0.56 | 13.04 | 1.24 | 21.06 |
| 93.91 | 2.13 | 94.34 | 2.34 |  |
| **South** | 966750 | 2.88E+07 | 1878930 | 5.84E+07 | 9.00E+07 |
| 0.4 | 11.98 | 0.78 | 24.35 | 37.51 |
| 6.09 | 45.39 | 5.66 | 45.8 |  |
| **West** | 0 | 1.98E+07 | 0 | 3.69E+07 | 5.67E+07 |
| 0 | 8.26 | 0 | 15.35 | 23.61 |
| 0 | 31.27 | 0 | 28.88 |  |
| **Total** | 1.59E+07 | 6.34E+07 | 3.32E+07 | 1.28E+08 | 2.40E+08 |
| 6.62 | 26.4 | 13.83 | 53.16 | 100 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Metro Status** | **Sub-Sample Group** | | | | |
|
| **Millennial, Midwestern** | **Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Total** |
|
| **Non-Metro** | 3388525 | 7042842 | 8215886 | 1.74E+07 | 3.60E+07 |
| 1.41 | 2.93 | 3.42 | 7.24 | 15.01 |
| 21.34 | 11.12 | 24.76 | 13.61 |  |
| **Metro** | 1.25E+07 | 5.63E+07 | 2.50E+07 | 1.10E+08 | 2.04E+08 |
| 5.2 | 23.46 | 10.4 | 45.92 | 84.99 |
| 78.66 | 88.88 | 75.24 | 86.39 |  |
| **Total** | 1.59E+07 | 6.34E+07 | 3.32E+07 | 1.28E+08 | 2.40E+08 |
| 6.62 | 26.4 | 13.83 | 53.16 | 100 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Education** | **Sub-Sample Group** | | | | |
| **Millennial, Midwestern** | **Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Total** |
|
| **Less than HS** | 1676107 | 7492928 | 3444706 | 1.78E+07 | 3.04E+07 |
| 0.7 | 3.12 | 1.44 | 7.42 | 12.68 |
| 10.56 | 11.83 | 10.38 | 13.96 |  |
| **HS** | 4140983 | 1.67E+07 | 1.05E+07 | 3.53E+07 | 6.66E+07 |
| 1.73 | 6.94 | 4.38 | 14.7 | 27.74 |
| 26.08 | 26.28 | 31.68 | 27.65 |  |
| **Some college** | 5852891 | 2.24E+07 | 9981235 | 3.57E+07 | 7.39E+07 |
| 2.44 | 9.32 | 4.16 | 14.87 | 30.79 |
| 36.86 | 35.3 | 30.08 | 27.98 |  |
| **Bachelor or higher** | 4209318 | 1.69E+07 | 9245738 | 3.88E+07 | 6.91E+07 |
| 1.75 | 7.02 | 3.85 | 16.17 | 28.79 |
| 26.51 | 26.59 | 27.86 | 30.41 |  |
| **Total** | 1.59E+07 | 6.34E+07 | 3.32E+07 | 1.28E+08 | 2.40E+08 |
| 6.62 | 26.4 | 13.83 | 53.16 | 100 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Income** | **Sub-Sample Group** | | | | |
| **Millennial, Midwestern** | **Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Total** |
|
| **Under $25,000** | 2636257 | 9694931 | 5312834 | 2.06E+07 | 3.82E+07 |
| 1.1 | 4.04 | 2.21 | 8.57 | 15.93 |
| 16.6 | 15.3 | 16.01 | 16.13 |  |
| **$25,000-$49,999** | 3574543 | 1.37E+07 | 7268764 | 2.63E+07 | 5.08E+07 |
| 1.49 | 5.72 | 3.03 | 10.94 | 21.18 |
| 22.51 | 21.68 | 21.9 | 20.58 |  |
| **$50,000-$74,999** | 3287216 | 1.23E+07 | 6395238 | 2.27E+07 | 4.47E+07 |
| 1.37 | 5.13 | 2.66 | 9.44 | 18.6 |
| 20.7 | 19.42 | 19.27 | 17.76 |  |
| **$75,000-$99,999** | 2379776 | 9193410 | 4667013 | 1.70E+07 | 3.32E+07 |
| 0.99 | 3.83 | 1.94 | 7.07 | 13.84 |
| 14.99 | 14.51 | 14.06 | 13.3 |  |
| **$100,000-$149,999** | 2504999 | 1.05E+07 | 5330129 | 2.08E+07 | 3.90E+07 |
| 1.04 | 4.36 | 2.22 | 8.64 | 16.27 |
| 15.78 | 16.51 | 16.06 | 16.26 |  |
| **$150,000 and over** | 1496508 | 7968248 | 4209758 | 2.04E+07 | 3.41E+07 |
| 0.62 | 3.32 | 1.75 | 8.49 | 14.19 |
| 9.42 | 12.58 | 12.69 | 15.97 |  |
| **Total** | 1.59E+07 | 6.34E+07 | 3.32E+07 | 1.28E+08 | 2.40E+08 |
| 6.62 | 26.4 | 13.83 | 53.16 | 100 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Census Region By Metro Status** | **Frequency** | **Percent** | **Cumulative Frequency** | **Cumulative Percent** |
|
| **Northeast Metro** | 39374750 | 16.41 | 39374750 | 16.41 |
| **Northeast Non-metro** | 3378819 | 1.41 | 42753569 | 17.81 |
| **Midwest Metro** | 38647702 | 16.1 | 81401271 | 33.92 |
| **Midwest Non-metro** | 11907770 | 4.96 | 93309041 | 38.88 |
| **South Metro** | 75071373 | 31.28 | 1.68E+08 | 70.16 |
| **South Non-metro** | 14968246 | 6.24 | 1.83E+08 | 76.39 |
| **West Metro** | 50902599 | 21.21 | 2.34E+08 | 97.6 |
| **West Non-metro** | 5759197 | 2.4 | 2.40E+08 | 100 |

***General Population Sample (Age 18 or Older)***

***Trimmed and Scaled: Weighted by Weight1***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gender** | **Age** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Male** | **18-29** | 210.8938 | 10.44 |
| **Male** | **30-44** | 253.8894 | 12.57 |
| **Male** | **45-59** | 260.4451 | 12.89 |
| **Male** | **60+** | 248.7552 | 12.31 |
| **Female** | **18-29** | 207.3782 | 10.27 |
| **Female** | **30-44** | 262.3506 | 12.99 |
| **Female** | **45-59** | 275.8149 | 13.65 |
| **Female** | **60+** | 300.4728 | 14.87 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Race/Ethnicity** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **White, Non-Hispanic** | 1306.527 | 64.68 |
| **Black, Non-Hispanic** | 235.2932 | 11.65 |
| **Other, Non-Hispanic** | 131.1185 | 6.49 |
| **Hispanic** | 314.6057 | 15.57 |
| **2+ Race, Non-Hispanic** | 32.45577 | 1.61 |
|  |  |  |
| **Census Region By Metro Status** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Northeast Metro** | 331.3897 | 16.41 |
| **Northeast Non-metro** | 28.43715 | 1.41 |
| **Midwest Metro** | 325.2707 | 16.1 |
| **Midwest Non-metro** | 100.2194 | 4.96 |
| **South Metro** | 631.8232 | 31.28 |
| **South Non-metro** | 125.9772 | 6.24 |
| **West Metro** | 428.4115 | 21.21 |
| **West Non-metro** | 48.47113 | 2.4 |
|  |  |  |
| **Education** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Less than HS** | 256.0549 | 12.68 |
| **HS** | 560.3759 | 27.74 |
| **Some college** | 621.964 | 30.79 |
| **Bachelor or higher** | 581.6052 | 28.79 |
|  |  |  |
| **Income** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Under $25,000** | 321.6981 | 15.93 |
| **$25,000-$49,999** | 427.8511 | 21.18 |
| **$50,000-$74,999** | 375.7806 | 18.6 |
| **$75,000-$99,999** | 279.5117 | 13.84 |
| **$100,000-$149,999** | 328.5697 | 16.27 |
| **$150,000 and over** | 286.5889 | 14.19 |

***Midwestern States Sample (Age 18 or Older)***

***Trimmed and Scaled: Weighted by Weight2***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gender** | **Age** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Male** | **18-29** | 84.97446 | 10.26 |
| **Male** | **30-44** | 101.7979 | 12.29 |
| **Male** | **45-59** | 108.6332 | 13.12 |
| **Male** | **60+** | 105.0953 | 12.69 |
| **Female** | **18-29** | 83.79294 | 10.12 |
| **Female** | **30-44** | 104.1714 | 12.58 |
| **Female** | **45-59** | 113.9912 | 13.77 |
| **Female** | **60+** | 125.5436 | 15.16 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Race/Ethnicity** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **White, Non-Hispanic** | 647.2785 | 78.17 |
| **Black, Non-Hispanic** | 80.41273 | 9.71 |
| **Other, Non-Hispanic** | 32.79907 | 3.96 |
| **Hispanic** | 54.26605 | 6.55 |
| **2+ Race, Non-Hispanic** | 13.2436 | 1.6 |
|  |  |  |
| **Metro Status** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Non-Metro** | 195.8389 | 23.65 |
| **Metro** | 632.1611 | 76.35 |
|  |  |  |
| **Education** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Less than HS** | 86.42012 | 10.44 |
| **HS** | 247.2884 | 29.87 |
| **Some college** | 267.2207 | 32.27 |
| **Bachelor or higher** | 227.0709 | 27.42 |
|  |  |  |
| **Income** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Under $25,000** | 134.1508 | 16.2 |
| **$25,000-$49,999** | 182.9943 | 22.1 |
| **$50,000-$74,999** | 163.4035 | 19.73 |
| **$75,000-$99,999** | 118.9234 | 14.36 |
| **$100,000-$149,999** | 132.2276 | 15.97 |
| **$150,000 and over** | 96.30037 | 11.63 |
|  |  |  |
| **State** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Ohio** | 146.5263 | 17.7 |
| **Indiana** | 81.95642 | 9.9 |
| **Illinois** | 162.1898 | 19.59 |
| **Michigan** | 126.5009 | 15.28 |
| **Minnesota** | 68.83863 | 8.31 |
| **Iowa** | 38.82285 | 4.69 |
| **Missouri** | 76.39716 | 9.23 |
| **North Dakota** | 9.433435 | 1.14 |
| **South Dakota** | 10.39567 | 1.26 |
| **Nebraska** | 23.2179 | 2.8 |
| **Kansas** | 35.69651 | 4.31 |
| **Oklahoma** | 48.0244 | 5.8 |

***Millennial Sample (Age 18 to 36)***

***Trimmed and Scaled: Weighted by Weight3***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gender** | **Age** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Male** | **18-24** | 144.8331 | 17.99 |
| **Male** | **25-29** | 109.7359 | 13.63 |
| **Male** | **30-36** | 148.1242 | 18.4 |
| **Female** | **18-24** | 139.7456 | 17.36 |
| **Female** | **25-29** | 110.5798 | 13.74 |
| **Female** | **30-36** | 151.9814 | 18.88 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Race/Ethnicity** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **White, Non-Hispanic** | 449.72 | 55.87 |
| **Black, Non-Hispanic** | 106.7395 | 13.26 |
| **Other, Non-Hispanic** | 58.98113 | 7.33 |
| **Hispanic** | 169.752 | 21.09 |
| **2+ Race, Non-Hispanic** | 19.80738 | 2.46 |
|  |  |  |
| **Census Region By Metro Status** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Northeast Metro** | 127.435 | 15.83 |
| **Northeast Non-metro** | 9.088728 | 1.13 |
| **Midwest Metro** | 130.3247 | 16.19 |
| **Midwest Non-metro** | 34.90269 | 4.34 |
| **South Metro** | 257.4396 | 31.98 |
| **South Non-metro** | 44.52127 | 5.53 |
| **West Metro** | 183.8255 | 22.84 |
| **West Non-metro** | 17.46252 | 2.17 |
|  |  |  |
| **Education** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Less than HS** | 93.15082 | 11.57 |
| **HS** | 211.2199 | 26.24 |
| **Some college** | 286.698 | 35.61 |
| **Bachelor or higher** | 213.9312 | 26.58 |
|  |  |  |
| **Income** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Under $25,000** | 125.276 | 15.56 |
| **$25,000-$49,999** | 175.8603 | 21.85 |
| **$50,000-$74,999** | 158.4231 | 19.68 |
| **$75,000-$99,999** | 117.5753 | 14.61 |
| **$100,000-$149,999** | 131.7101 | 16.36 |
| **$150,000 and over** | 96.15513 | 11.94 |

***Non-Midwestern States Sample (Age 18 or Older)***

***Trimmed and Scaled: Weighted by Weight4***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gender** | **Age** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Male** | **18-29** | 168.1944 | 10.49 |
| **Male** | **30-44** | 202.734 | 12.64 |
| **Male** | **45-59** | 205.8749 | 12.84 |
| **Male** | **60+** | 195.9683 | 12.22 |
| **Female** | **18-29** | 165.2737 | 10.3 |
| **Female** | **30-44** | 209.9976 | 13.09 |
| **Female** | **45-59** | 218.5483 | 13.63 |
| **Female** | **60+** | 237.4088 | 14.8 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Race/Ethnicity** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **White, Non-Hispanic** | 981.8449 | 61.21 |
| **Black, Non-Hispanic** | 194.8178 | 12.15 |
| **Other, Non-Hispanic** | 114.5421 | 7.14 |
| **Hispanic** | 286.9934 | 17.89 |
| **2+ Race, Non-Hispanic** | 25.8017 | 1.61 |
|  |  |  |
| **Census Region By Metro Status** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Northeast Metro** | 330.7565 | 20.62 |
| **Northeast Non-metro** | 28.38282 | 1.77 |
| **Midwest Metro** | 25.99093 | 1.62 |
| **Midwest Non-metro** | 10.4502 | 0.65 |
| **South Metro** | 614.6135 | 38.32 |
| **South Non-metro** | 117.8346 | 7.35 |
| **West Metro** | 427.5929 | 26.66 |
| **West Non-metro** | 48.37851 | 3.02 |
|  |  |  |
| **Education** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Less than HS** | 212.5497 | 13.25 |
| **HS** | 436.2164 | 27.2 |
| **Some college** | 487.7654 | 30.41 |
| **Bachelor or higher** | 467.4685 | 29.14 |
|  |  |  |
| **Income** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Under $25,000** | 254.3093 | 15.85 |
| **$25,000-$49,999** | 335.9474 | 20.94 |
| **$50,000-$74,999** | 293.7279 | 18.31 |
| **$75,000-$99,999** | 219.783 | 13.7 |
| **$100,000-$149,999** | 262.125 | 16.34 |
| **$150,000 and over** | 238.1074 | 14.84 |

***Non-Millennial Sample (Age 37 or Older)***

***Trimmed and Scaled: Weighted by Weight5***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gender** | **Age** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Male** | **37-44** | 149.5872 | 9.69 |
| **Male** | **45-59** | 296.9949 | 19.25 |
| **Male** | **60+** | 283.6645 | 18.38 |
| **Female** | **37-44** | 155.592 | 10.08 |
| **Female** | **45-59** | 314.5216 | 20.38 |
| **Female** | **60+** | 342.6398 | 22.21 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Race/Ethnicity** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **White, Non-Hispanic** | 1065.032 | 69.02 |
| **Black, Non-Hispanic** | 167.4771 | 10.85 |
| **Other, Non-Hispanic** | 93.80002 | 6.08 |
| **Hispanic** | 198.3926 | 12.86 |
| **2+ Race, Non-Hispanic** | 18.29857 | 1.19 |
|  |  |  |
| **Census Region By Metro Status** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Northeast Metro** | 257.5085 | 16.69 |
| **Northeast Non-metro** | 23.84184 | 1.55 |
| **Midwest Metro** | 247.8009 | 16.06 |
| **Midwest Non-metro** | 81.31138 | 5.27 |
| **South Metro** | 477.2891 | 30.93 |
| **South Non-metro** | 101.5974 | 6.58 |
| **West Metro** | 314.8742 | 20.41 |
| **West Non-metro** | 38.77664 | 2.51 |
|  |  |  |
| **Education** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Less than HS** | 203.9896 | 13.22 |
| **HS** | 439.4787 | 28.48 |
| **Some college** | 438.406 | 28.41 |
| **Bachelor or higher** | 461.1258 | 29.89 |
|  |  |  |
| **Income** | **Frequency** | **Percent** |
| **Under $25,000** | 248.4965 | 16.1 |
| **$25,000-$49,999** | 321.7597 | 20.85 |
| **$50,000-$74,999** | 278.8548 | 18.07 |
| **$75,000-$99,999** | 207.6646 | 13.46 |
| **$100,000-$149,999** | 250.2541 | 16.22 |
| **$150,000 and over** | 235.9704 | 15.29 |

***Total Sample (Age 18 or Older)***

***Trimmed and Scaled: Weighted by Weight6***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gender** | **Age** | **Sub-Sample Group** | | | | |
| **Millennial, Midwestern** | **Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial, Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Total** |
| **Male** | **18-24** | 33.7076 | 130.382 | 0 | 0 | 164.089 |
| 1.22 | 4.72 | 0 | 0 | 5.95 |
| 18.44 | 17.88 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **Female** | **18-24** | 32.5457 | 125.78 | 0 | 0 | 158.325 |
| 1.18 | 4.56 | 0 | 0 | 5.74 |
| 17.81 | 17.25 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **Male** | **25-29** | 24.247 | 100.079 | 0 | 0 | 124.326 |
| 0.88 | 3.63 | 0 | 0 | 4.5 |
| 13.27 | 13.72 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **Female** | **25-29** | 24.6032 | 100.679 | 0 | 0 | 125.282 |
| 0.89 | 3.65 | 0 | 0 | 4.54 |
| 13.46 | 13.81 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **Male** | **30-36** | 33.4317 | 134.386 | 0 | 0 | 167.818 |
| 1.21 | 4.87 | 0 | 0 | 6.08 |
| 18.29 | 18.43 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **Female** | **30-36** | 34.2359 | 137.952 | 0 | 0 | 172.188 |
| 1.24 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6.24 |
| 18.73 | 18.92 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **Male** | **37-44** | 0 | 0 | 35.9969 | 143.4 | 179.397 |
| 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 5.2 | 6.5 |
| 0 | 0 | 9.42 | 9.78 |  |
| **Female** | **37-44** | 0 | 0 | 36.8115 | 148.202 | 185.013 |
| 0 | 0 | 1.33 | 5.37 | 6.7 |
| 0 | 0 | 9.64 | 10.11 |  |
| **Male** | **45-59** | 0 | 0 | 74.0905 | 282.13 | 356.221 |
| 0 | 0 | 2.68 | 10.22 | 12.91 |
| 0 | 0 | 19.4 | 19.24 |  |
| **Female** | **45-59** | 0 | 0 | 77.7449 | 298.39 | 376.135 |
| 0 | 0 | 2.82 | 10.81 | 13.63 |
| 0 | 0 | 20.35 | 20.35 |  |
| **Male** | **60 or Older** | 0 | 0 | 71.6774 | 268.582 | 340.259 |
| 0 | 0 | 2.6 | 9.73 | 12.33 |
| 0 | 0 | 18.77 | 18.32 |  |
| **Female** | **60 or Older** | 0 | 0 | 85.6238 | 325.323 | 410.947 |
| 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 11.79 | 14.89 |
| 0 | 0 | 22.42 | 22.19 |  |
| **Total** | | 182.771 | 729.257 | 381.945 | 1466.03 | 2760 |
| 6.62 | 26.42 | 13.84 | 53.12 | 100 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Race/Ethnicity** | **Sub-Sample Group** | | | | |
| **Millennial, Midwestern** | **Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Total** |
|
| **White, Non-Hispanic** | 129.9 | 379.612 | 311.558 | 965.124 | 1786.19 |
| 4.71 | 13.75 | 11.29 | 34.97 | 64.72 |
| 71.07 | 52.05 | 81.57 | 65.83 |  |
| **Black, Non-Hispanic** | 20.9795 | 99.9517 | 33.8646 | 166.988 | 321.784 |
| 0.76 | 3.62 | 1.23 | 6.05 | 11.66 |
| 11.48 | 13.71 | 8.87 | 11.39 |  |
| **Other, Non-Hispanic** | 7.92478 | 57.3207 | 4.54432 | 98.5589 | 168.349 |
| 0.29 | 2.08 | 0.16 | 3.57 | 6.1 |
| 4.34 | 7.86 | 1.19 | 6.72 |  |
| **Hispanic** | 17.7088 | 174.612 | 19.3024 | 217.632 | 429.256 |
| 0.64 | 6.33 | 0.7 | 7.89 | 15.55 |
| 9.69 | 23.94 | 5.05 | 14.85 |  |
| **2+ Race, Non-Hispanic** | 6.25772 | 17.7604 | 12.6757 | 17.7234 | 54.4173 |
| 0.23 | 0.64 | 0.46 | 0.64 | 1.97 |
| 3.42 | 2.44 | 3.32 | 1.21 |  |
| **Total** | 182.771 | 729.257 | 381.945 | 1466.03 | 2760 |
| 6.62 | 26.42 | 13.84 | 53.12 | 100 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Census Region** | **Sub-Sample Group** | | | | |
| **Millennial, Midwestern** | **Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Total** |
|
| **Northeast** | 0 | 154.675 | 0 | 336.858 | 491.533 |
| 0 | 5.6 | 0 | 12.2 | 17.81 |
| 0 | 21.21 | 0 | 22.98 |  |
| **Midwest** | 171.644 | 15.5516 | 360.318 | 34.3797 | 581.893 |
| 6.22 | 0.56 | 13.06 | 1.25 | 21.08 |
| 93.91 | 2.13 | 94.34 | 2.35 |  |
| **South** | 11.1274 | 330.98 | 21.6268 | 671.628 | 1035.36 |
| 0.4 | 11.99 | 0.78 | 24.33 | 37.51 |
| 6.09 | 45.39 | 5.66 | 45.81 |  |
| **West** | 0 | 228.05 | 0 | 423.161 | 651.211 |
| 0 | 8.26 | 0 | 15.33 | 23.59 |
| 0 | 31.27 | 0 | 28.86 |  |
| **Total** | 182.771 | 729.257 | 381.945 | 1466.03 | 2760 |
| 6.62 | 26.42 | 13.84 | 53.12 | 100 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Metro Status** | **Sub-Sample Group** | | | | |
|
| **Millennial, Midwestern** | **Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Total** |
|
| **Non-Metro** | 39 | 81.0649 | 94.5605 | 199.896 | 414.522 |
| 1.41 | 2.94 | 3.43 | 7.24 | 15.02 |
| 21.34 | 11.12 | 24.76 | 13.64 |  |
| **Metro** | 143.771 | 648.192 | 287.385 | 1266.13 | 2345.48 |
| 5.21 | 23.49 | 10.41 | 45.87 | 84.98 |
| 78.66 | 88.88 | 75.24 | 86.36 |  |
| **Total** | 182.771 | 729.257 | 381.945 | 1466.03 | 2760 |
| 6.62 | 26.42 | 13.84 | 53.12 | 100 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Education** | **Sub-Sample Group** | | | | |
| **Millennial, Midwestern** | **Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Total** |
|
| **Less than HS** | 19.2919 | 86.2434 | 39.6485 | 202.342 | 347.525 |
| 0.7 | 3.12 | 1.44 | 7.33 | 12.59 |
| 10.56 | 11.83 | 10.38 | 13.8 |  |
| **HS** | 47.6626 | 191.64 | 120.994 | 406.065 | 766.362 |
| 1.73 | 6.94 | 4.38 | 14.71 | 27.77 |
| 26.08 | 26.28 | 31.68 | 27.7 |  |
| **Some college** | 67.3671 | 257.449 | 114.884 | 410.893 | 850.593 |
| 2.44 | 9.33 | 4.16 | 14.89 | 30.82 |
| 36.86 | 35.3 | 30.08 | 28.03 |  |
| **Bachelor or higher** | 48.4496 | 193.925 | 106.419 | 446.727 | 795.52 |
| 1.76 | 7.03 | 3.86 | 16.19 | 28.82 |
| 26.51 | 26.59 | 27.86 | 30.47 |  |
| **Total** | 182.771 | 729.257 | 381.945 | 1466.03 | 2760 |
| 6.62 | 26.42 | 13.84 | 53.12 | 100 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Income** | **Sub-Sample Group** | | | | |
| **Millennial, Midwestern** | **Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial Midwestern** | **Non-Millennial, Non-Midwestern** | **Total** |
|
| **Under $25,000** | 30.3433 | 111.589 | 61.1506 | 235.801 | 438.884 |
| 1.1 | 4.04 | 2.22 | 8.54 | 15.9 |
| 16.6 | 15.3 | 16.01 | 16.08 |  |
| **$25,000-$49,999** | 41.1434 | 158.099 | 83.6634 | 302.217 | 585.123 |
| 1.49 | 5.73 | 3.03 | 10.95 | 21.2 |
| 22.51 | 21.68 | 21.9 | 20.61 |  |
| **$50,000-$74,999** | 37.8359 | 141.65 | 73.609 | 260.226 | 513.321 |
| 1.37 | 5.13 | 2.67 | 9.43 | 18.6 |
| 20.7 | 19.42 | 19.27 | 17.75 |  |
| **$75,000-$99,999** | 27.3913 | 105.816 | 53.7173 | 195.366 | 382.29 |
| 0.99 | 3.83 | 1.95 | 7.08 | 13.85 |
| 14.99 | 14.51 | 14.06 | 13.33 |  |
| **$100,000-$149,999** | 36.3191 | 120.389 | 61.3501 | 238.841 | 456.898 |
| 1.32 | 4.36 | 2.22 | 8.65 | 16.55 |
| 19.87 | 16.51 | 16.06 | 16.29 |  |
| **$150,000 and over** | 9.73827 | 91.7142 | 48.4548 | 233.577 | 383.484 |
| 0.35 | 3.32 | 1.76 | 8.46 | 13.89 |
| 5.33 | 12.58 | 12.69 | 15.93 |  |
| **Total** | 182.771 | 729.257 | 381.945 | 1466.03 | 2760 |
| 6.62 | 26.42 | 13.84 | 53.12 | 100 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Census Region By Metro Status** | **Frequency** | **Percent** | **Cumulative Frequency** | **Cumulative Percent** |
|
| **Northeast Metro** | 452.6426 | 16.4 | 452.6426 | 16.4 |
| **Northeast Non-metro** | 38.89021 | 1.41 | 491.5328 | 17.81 |
| **Midwest Metro** | 444.8351 | 16.12 | 936.3679 | 33.93 |
| **Midwest Non-metro** | 137.0584 | 4.97 | 1073.426 | 38.89 |
| **South Metro** | 863.0777 | 31.27 | 1936.504 | 70.16 |
| **South Non-metro** | 172.2845 | 6.24 | 2108.789 | 76.41 |
| **West Metro** | 584.9231 | 21.19 | 2693.712 | 97.6 |
| **West Non-metro** | 66.28836 | 2.4 | 2760 | 100 |
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